

Thesis_AK_DM_Pl原因.pdf

by Debasish Mandal

Submission date: 24-Feb-2025 04:22PM (UTC+0530)

Submission ID: 2597216392

File name: Thesis_AK_DM_Pl原因.pdf (1.15M)

Word count: 17677

Character count: 95561

1.1: The Functions and Applications of Transition Metal-Centered Complexes

Within the domain of inorganic chemistry, transition metal-based complexes are of considerable importance due to their distinctive ability to coordinate with a diverse range of ligands—a characteristic derived from their d orbitals.¹ Transition metal complexes are pivotal across various domains, including medicinal chemistry, where platinum-based cisplatin and titanium in titanocene dichloride serve as effective anticancer agents, and metals such as silver, zinc, and manganese exhibit notable antimicrobial, antifungal, and antimalarial properties.² In industrial catalysis, iron plays a critical role in the haber-bosch process, while nickel is extensively utilized in hydrogenation reactions.³ Additionally, they play important roles in biological systems, materials science, and catalysis within synthetic chemistry. A summary of their diverse applications is presented in Fig. 1.1.⁴

1.2: Iron-Based Complexes in Catalytic Processes

Among the different states of oxidation, i.e., III, IV, and V, iron has been discovered to be a crucial part of the active sites of numerous catalytic reactions.⁵⁻⁸ Its economic viability, low toxicity, and bioavailability have prompted extensive investigation within the domains of biomimetic chemistry as well as biological systems.⁹ Our research has been based on these types of complexes, which are therefore a perfect topic for in-depth study. Iron-based complexes are also involved in a wide array of reactions, including hydrogenolysis, cyclization, oxidation, and cyclopropanation,^{10,11} with oxidation processes—such as aliphatic and aromatic hydroxylation, alkene epoxidation, alcohol oxidation, C-H bond activation, N-dealkylation, etc.,¹²⁻²⁶ being particularly prevalent, as shown in Fig. 1.2.

This thesis will concentrate on hydroxylation reactions, specifically C-H activation processes, which are crucial aspects of modern chemistry.^{27,28} Due to the complex interplay of several factors influencing reactivity behavior—such as the ligand's coordination at the axial or

equatorial position to the central metal,²⁹ overall ligand structure,³⁰ acceptor orbital energy,³¹ spin-state (which governs whether the reactivity follows single, two, or multi-state pathways),^{32,33} and quantum mechanical tunneling (QMT)³⁴—it is highly challenging to characterize and fully understand the reactivity patterns of these bond-activation processes.

1.3: Impact of Iron-Based Complexes on Biological Systems

1.3.1: Classification of Iron-Based Complexes

Iron complexes can be systematically arranged into separate classes based on their structural characteristics.^{35,36} In terms of the ligand ring structure, these complexes are usually divided into two broad categories:

(a) Heme complexes and (b) Non-heme complexes

In numerous essential enzymes, such as cytochromes and peroxidases,³⁷⁻³⁹ etc., heme complexes serve as integral prosthetic groups or catalytic sites. These complexes are structurally characterized by a central iron atom bonded to a large, cyclic porphyrin ring, which has four subunits of pyrrole connected by methine bridges, forming a heterocyclic macrocycle. This structure is exemplified by cytochrome P450 enzymes⁴⁰⁻⁴² (Fig. 1.3a). These enzymes are pivotal in various biological processes, including drug metabolism and steroid synthesis. Multiple bioinspired approaches have been used to recreate the structural framework of heme, where the porphyrin's ring configuration in its natural form has been extensively studied⁴³ and modified, resulting in a diverse array of analogs such as phthalocyanine,⁴⁴ thereby broadening the scope of heme-based systems in catalysis, as depicted in Fig. 1.3b.

Conversely, non-heme iron complexes, function as catalytic centers in several enzymes like TauD (taurine/ α -ketoglutarate dioxygenase)⁴⁵ (Fig. 1.3c), ACCO (1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase),⁴⁶ and PheH (phenylalanine hydroxylase).⁴⁷ Rather than coordinating

directly with porphyrin rings, these complexes interact with non-porphyrin ligands, including residual amino acid, water, or some additional heteroatoms in their structure, as demonstrated in Fig. 1.3d. This coordination allows for a diverse range of catalytic reactions. Despite the lack of a porphyrin ring, non-heme complexes exhibit considerable catalytic versatility, enabling them to facilitate a broader spectrum of reactions compared to their heme counterparts.⁴⁸⁻⁵¹ Additionally, we have discussed how the properties of the metal-oxygen link might affect the complexes that result from O being attached to the Fe atom.

1.3.2: Different Forms of Iron-Oxygen-based Complexes

Iron-oxygen bonding complexes can be categorized into oxo, superoxo, and peroxo complexes, each distinguished by the specific nature of the metal-oxygen interaction.^{52,53} In oxo complexes, the iron center is covalently bonded to an oxygen atom via a double bond,⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷ as represented in Fig. 1.4(a).

Conversely, peroxo complexes are characterized by an iron-oxygen bond in which the oxygen molecule (O₂) serves as a bridging ligand, forming a stable peroxo structure,⁵⁸ (Fig. 1.4(b)). Complexes with superoxide groups, meanwhile, feature an iron associated with an oxygen-containing moiety in an end-on manner, where the O₂ entity behaves like a superoxo ligand,⁵⁹ (Fig. 1.4(c)). This study primarily examines oxo complexes, serving as pivotal intermediates in C-H activation and playing an integral role in facilitating a wide array of catalytic reactions.

1.4: The Role of Iron-Oxo Complexes in Biomimetic Chemistry

A considerable number of researchers specializing not only in biological but also in bioinorganic and oxidation chemistry have developed catalysts incorporating the ferryl moiety. The pioneering biomimetic model system in this domain was the TMC (1,4,8,11-tetramethyl-²⁵1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane), a tetraazamacrocyclic ligand, introduced by Rohde *et al.*⁶⁰

This system laid the groundwork for developing numerous synthetic non-heme Fe compounds, which have been designed to replicate the enzymatic intermediates' properties such as spectroscopic characteristics, functionality, or architecture.^{61,62} Additionally, various other Fe-oxo complexes have been synthesized utilizing a range of macrocyclic ligands, including tetradentate (N4), tripodal tetradentate (N4), as well as N5 and N4S pentadentate (N5 and N4S) coordinating ligands, as illustrated in Fig. 1.5.^{18, 63}

A notable feature of many such macrocycles is the collective ligand framework, which predominantly originated from the TMC or alternative similar ring systems, namely N4Py and Me₂EBC, etc.⁶⁴⁻⁶⁷ In light of these developments, the following section will focus on key aspects of this field.

1.4.1: Mechanistic Pathways for C-H Activation through the Transfer of Electrons and Protons

The process of hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) entails the concerted movement of a proton as well as an electron within two distinct types of substrates, occurring as a singular-kinetic phase.^{68,69} From a conceptual standpoint, HAT is a subset within the more extensive class of proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) processes, where both a proton along with the electron shift simultaneously in tandem, occupying in the same origin and ending orbitals.⁷⁰ Several investigative teams, are dedicated to constructing models that can explain HAT and predict the associated rates. A framework rooted in Marcus' theory⁷¹ has been created to characterize HAT, and the valence bond (VB) model system has demonstrated its ability to effectively evaluate energy barriers in this process (hydrogen abstraction) and recognize patterns in bond energy values.⁷² In many chemical reactions such as hydrocarbon combustion, oxidation (aerobic), and atmospheric processes, HAT is considered to be an important step. In biological systems, it affects metalloenzymes, reactive oxygen species ROS activity, and antioxidant

functioning.^{73,74} Furthermore, HAT provides significant potential in organic synthesis by enabling the activation of bonds (R-H) selectively.⁷⁵ Fig. 1.6 outlines the general HAT mechanism.

1.4.2: Rearrangements of Electrons in Fe-Oxo Complexes

While the C-H activation reaction occurs, hydrogen is abstracted as a result of a single electron migrating from the substrate to the orbital of the acceptor.^{76,77} According to the Fig. 1.7, this orbital could either be the π^* , anti-bonding nature (in the case of $S = 1$, designated as triplet state) or the σ^*_{z2} (when $S = 2$, defined as quintet state) of the Fe(IV)oxo entity.

As represented in the figure above, $S = 1$ spin-state (referred to as the π route), which has a Fe-O-H angle of approximately 120° , the movement of an electron (β) to the Fe-oxo entity's π^* orbital (demonstrated in blue) is facilitated. Conversely, within the $S = 2$ state, the transfer of an electron (α), via the σ path to the σ^*_{z2} orbital is highlighted by the pink arrow, with the Fe-O-H angle being about 180° . In this thesis, our findings are consistent with exchange-enhanced reactivity (EER), where the quintet state's transition state is energetically more suited than the triplet state's, owing to exchange interactions.^{78,79} Consequently, the quintet state forms a more stable intermediate during the reaction.

1.4.3: Distinct Forms of Spin-State Reactivity

Reactivity can differ depending on the spin-states present,⁸⁰ as outlined below.

Fig. 1.8a illustrates **Single-State Reactivity (SSR)**, which involves a reaction that occurs solely via one spin state, with other spin states being much higher in energy than the ground state's energy level. Another type is the **Two-State Reactivity (TSR)**, which describes a reaction that proceeds via two separate spin states, which may be closely aligned (as seen in Fig. 1.8b) or involve a transition between various states on the reaction surface,⁸¹ as demonstrated in Fig.

1.8c. Lastly, **Multi-State Reactivity (MSR)** addresses more complicated reactions, where multiple spin states (typically more than two) are involved, permitting interconversions between different arrangements of electrons along the pathway of the reaction, as depicted in Fig. 1.8d.

1.4.4: The Structural Roles of Ligands Substitution and the Influence of Metal Ions on the Fe-Oxo Complexes

Given the central role of C-H bond activation in this research, it is anticipated that the literature on this subject will broaden considerably. This increasing body of work provides a foundation for examining the structural contributions of the ligand and the effects of substrates and mediators in such reactions. Mandal *et al.*⁸² put forward an idea of utilizing KIE to explore tunneling phenomena and examine the spin-states reactivity pattern in M=O complexes. Their findings pointed that the surfaces exhibiting thermoneutral symmetry has the most prominent tunnelling effect, highlighting the significance of the Bell-Evans-Polanyi principles governing quantum mechanical tunneling. In a related study, Janardanan *et al.*⁸³ addressed the issue of inaccuracies caused by self-interaction in DFT investigations of Fe-oxo complexes. They introduced a solution involving the use of counter-ions (ClO_4^-), in the $[(\text{N4Py})\text{FeIV}(\text{O})]^{2+}$ complex to improve computational accuracy and yield better predictions of experimental reactivity trends, as illustrated in Scheme 1.1.

In line with this study, Mandal *et al.*⁸⁴ also explored Fe(IV)O complex reactivity with macrocyclic ligands and L_{ax} , focusing on different types of substrates (CHD, DHA, etc.) for HAT as well as OAT reactivity. According to their research, tunneling contributions counteract the inherent electrophilic behavior in the H-abstraction by enhancing tunneling as L_{ax} 's electron-donating strength is amplified. In a similar vein, Park *et al.*⁸⁵ investigated that Fe(III)-hydroperoxo entities are unreactive not only for nucleophilic but also for electrophilic

reactions. They also demonstrated that, in contrast to Fe(IV)O complexes, these entities act as less reactive oxidants. Another important research focus has been the role of electron-tuning via substitutions on the ligand, linked to the metal centre.

A number of $[\text{FeIV}(\text{O})(\text{LPy}_2)(\text{L}_{\text{ax}})]^{2+}$ complexes were examined in a research by Que and co-workers⁸⁶ where L_{ax} stood for various substituents at the 4th position of the pyridine (axial) in ligands (i.e. N-oxide). According to their findings, higher concentrations of electron-donating groups (similar to those seen in the well-known Fe-oxo complex with the TMC ligand)⁸⁷ led to the faster rates of HAT. Moreover, Hitomi *et al.*⁸⁸ experimentally synthesised a $[\text{FeIV}(\text{O})(\text{dpaq-X})]^+$ complex and found that it showed significantly higher reactivity compared to the Fe-oxo complex ligated with the ligand N4Py.⁸⁹

Metal-oxo complexes of Mn, Cr, and Ru, etc., in their high oxidation states, have also shown tremendous promise in catalysing C–H activation processes, despite the fact that Fe-centered moieties have attracted significant attention.⁹⁰⁻⁹³ Our understanding of metal-oxide chemistry is enhanced by the diverse reactivity behaviours and the arrangement of electrons that these metals offer. The catalytic efficiency of biomimetic heme in conjunction with nonheme Mn(IV)O complexes for C–H activation has been demonstrated in a number of investigations.⁹⁴⁻⁹⁸ The catalytic capabilities of the complex $[(\text{Bn-TPEN})\text{Mn}^{\text{IV}}\text{O}]^{2+}$ towards activation of C-H bonds were experimentally established by Cho *et al.*⁹⁹ According to the results of their inquiry, (Scheme 1.2), the H-abstraction substrate radical separates from the $\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}\text{OH}$ complex and then reacts with a second $\text{Mn}^{\text{IV}}\text{O}$ molecule to create Mn^{III} . Additionally, they highlighted the spin-state relevance for $\text{M}=\text{O}$ reagents, pointing out that, nonheme $\text{Mn}^{\text{IV}}\text{O}$ displays a more complicated structure than $\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}\text{O}$.

Some research has been dedicated to exploring the catalysis of chromium-oxo complexes.¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰¹ In certain studies, ruthenium (Ru) has been the primary metal in biomimetic metal-oxo complexes.¹⁰² Mayer and collaborators¹⁰³ showed that $\text{Ru}(\text{IV})\text{O}^{2+}$ oxidation of NADH analogs

leads to selective HAT and hydride transfer in C–H oxidation. They established that the HAT pathway predominates over hydride transfer by noting a substantial KIE value of approximately 12 ± 1 . A range of experimental studies has examined the mechanistic pathways involved in C–H activation catalyzed by Ru(IV)O complexes.^{93,104,105} Comparative studies between experimental and theoretical investigations of Ru and Fe-oxo complexes have been conducted to assess their reactivity.¹⁰⁶

We have now shifted our attention to exploring the contribution of equatorially coordinated ligand substitution concerning the reactivity pattern of Fe-oxo species, specifically examining how carboxylate-rich macrocycles impact their reactivity capabilities. McDonald *et al.*¹⁰⁷ synthesized the BuP2DA macrocycle by swapping the two pyridine groups of N4Py with carboxylates, leading to carboxylate-bound complexes that show enhanced reactivity. Some research has emphasized the importance of adding heteroatoms to the equatorial framework of nonheme complexes. The reactivity pattern of Fe-centered oxo compounds is enhanced more by incorporating oxygen or sulfur donors, along with carboxylates, than by using nitrogen-based ligands.¹⁰⁸

Que and colleagues¹⁰⁹ demonstrated that the reactivity pattern for the Fe=O unit is significantly increased when coordinated to a trans-carboxylate ligand when contrasted to a ligand having a neutral acetonitrile. This finding underscores the crucial role of heteroatom substitution, such as carboxylate, in regulating the reactivity pattern for the Fe=O species. According to Que and colleagues,¹⁰⁹ the addition of a trans-coordinated carboxylate ligand, rather than a neutral acetonitrile ligand, considerably amplifies the Fe-oxo moiety's reactivity pattern. This finding underscores the crucial role of heteroatom substitution, such as carboxylate, in altering the Fe-oxo species' reactivity trend. Table 1.1 consolidates the findings of these studies, detailing their structures, ligation modes, and the nature of the complexes.

1.4.5: Impact of Mediators on the Behavior of Fe-Oxo Complexes

Lately, redox mediators have drawn increased attention for their role as cocatalysts, especially for enhancing the trend of reactivity of M=O entity in activation reactions by C-H bonds. Redox activators like N-hydroxyphthalimide (NHPI) have demonstrated their ability to increase the efficiency of Fe(IV)-oxo complex-catalyzed C-H activation.¹¹⁰⁻¹¹³ According to a study by Barbieri *et al.*,¹¹⁰ NHPI significantly enhances the C-H activation rate catalyzed by [FeIV(O)(N4Py)]²⁺. As a catalyst, NHPI effectively oxidizes a wide range of organic compounds, such as aliphatic hydrocarbons, alkyl aromatic hydrocarbons, alcohols, amides, and amines.¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁶ The reaction generates the phthalimide-N-oxyl (PINO) radical, which is essential for hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) in the oxidation process. A follow-up study provided a kinetic analysis of HAT processes mainly driven by QINO.¹¹⁷ The presence of QINO was found to enhance the HAT rate constants in a manner similar to PINO, stabilizing the transition state through enthalpic as well as polar effects, as shown in Scheme 1.3. Furthermore, NHQI was identified as a promising alternative to NHPI due to its lower C-H bond dissociation energy.

This mediator approach significantly broadens the scope of the metal-oxo entity in the C-H activation reactions, providing a promising avenue for enhancing the reactivity and selectivity of these catalytic processes.

2.1: Computational Chemistry

A subfield of chemistry known as computational chemistry uses data systems and computational methods to solve challenging real-world chemical issues. It makes use of sophisticated mathematical techniques to make automation and computational implementation easier. This field lessens the need for conventional laboratory experiments by using advanced algorithms, mathematical models, and high-performance computational resources to predict molecular behavior, investigate reaction mechanisms, and create new materials and medications, as shown in Fig. 2.1.¹

As an integral aspect of current scientific research, computational chemistry enables the study of intricate chemical systems with great precision, efficiency, and cost-effectiveness. The principles of quantum mechanics are fundamental to this area of study.² A wide range of literature offers detailed insights into these core ideas, with a selection of key points summarized here.³⁻⁶

2.2: Theoretical Details

This investigation relies on a computational technique rooted in QM, incorporating the findings from a prominent equation (Schrödinger Eqⁿ), which provides the system's energy, for analysis.

The most straightforward way to present the aforementioned equation is:

Herein, this symbol representation: \hat{H} = the operator governing the Hamiltonian, whereas Ψ Is the symbol for the wave function, and E signifies the energy. This approach is suitable for the system containing solely 1 e⁻; however, a system with multiple electrons cannot be precisely solved by it.¹⁰ Accordingly, the subsequent approximations are considered for further analysis.

2.2.1: Distinct Methods of Approximations

1. The Born-Oppenheimer Approximation

Proposed in 1927,¹¹ this approximation relies on the notion that the electrons, traveling much faster than the nucleus, make it possible to treat them independently in molecules.¹² The logic behind this type of assumption is valid, as the nuclear mass, such as that of a hydrogen atom made up of a proton (single), is significantly more massive—approximately 1800 times—in terms of the electron's mass. Therefore, the e⁻s navigate a field, produced by the nuclei in their stationary state, which imparts minimal kinetic energy.

2. The Hartree-Fock (HF) Approximation

As a central ab initio technique, approximates the electronic wavefunction, as designed by Ψ , and the energy associated with a molecular-based entity.¹³

It is hypothesized that each existing e⁻ resides within a field generated by the collective presence of the other e⁻s, bypassing any direct interaction with them. Consequently, it models the multiple-electron- Ψ , as mentioned to be a Slater determinant constructed from the orbitals of individual electrons.¹⁴

This resulting mathematical expression is:

$$\hat{F}\phi_i(r) = \epsilon_i\phi_i(r) \text{ ----- Eq. 2.3}$$

Here, \hat{F} , refers to the operator of Fock, $\phi_i(r)$, corresponds to the molecular orbitals, and ϵ_i symbolizes the energies of orbital.

Even though it is the reference point for the method (i.e., ab initio), lack of electron-electron correlation limits the precision of its outcomes, prompting the need for further investigation into other approaches.¹⁵

3. Post HF Approach

These methods, being more advanced, improve molecule-related computations, by resolving the drawbacks associated with the H-F approach, particularly its failure to account for e⁻-

correlation, where e^- movements in pairs are interdependent in atomic or molecular species.

The equation that describes this phenomenon is:

$$E_{\text{cor}} = E_T - E_{\text{HF}} \quad \text{----- (Eq. 2.3)}$$

While this approach is more precise, it comes with higher costs, which are mitigated by the methods that follow.

4. Density Functional Theory (DFT)

Among the frequently applied methods for solving chemical problems is DFT. In this method, the electron density (ρ) is considered the primary variable rather than the wave function.¹⁶ This accurate approach sets itself apart from quantum chemical methods by not offering a coupled N-body wave function and relying on a non-interacting framework. The foundation of the theory rests on two essential theorems, as outlined below.

i) Hohenberg-Kohn Theorems

These core theorems provide the foundation for this approach. In line with this, the electron density in the ground state encodes mostly details concerning the potential external impact on the system, by extension, its Hamiltonian. Therefore, the wave function represents multiple e^- s and further ρ denotes to derive the detectable characteristics. It is stated as:

Additionally, the second theorem highlights that the correct electron density for the ground state reduces the functional at its minimum.

ii) Kohn-Sham Theorems

This theorem involves a non-interacting electron system that shares the same electron density in its G.S, as the interacting system in its original form, with simpler equations providing a practical route to solutions.¹⁷ The central advantage of DFT is its efficient computational performance. Emphasizing the electron density instead of the many-body wave function,

reduces the complexity and cost of calculations relative to traditional approaches.^{18,19} In addition, it offers a solid compromise between precision and computational resources, which is why it has been adopted throughout this thesis.

2.2.2: Functionals

In the context of DFT, functionals are mathematical expressions that describe the relationship between the system's energy and ρ . These functionals are employed to estimate exchange-correlation-based energy, with different types designed to address various aspects of the correlation of electrons and their exchange interactions.²⁰ The predominant categories in use include:

1. Local Density Approximation (LDA)

LDA is a widely recognized and frequently employed approximation for more accurately describing the functional of exchange and correlation.²¹ This assumes that the energy of exchange and correlation at a particular point are solely dependent on the electron density at that same location. It is frequently employed in scenarios where the ρ is approximately uniform.

2. Generalised Gradient Approximation (GGA)

GGA28 enhances the computation of the exchange-correlation functional by factoring in both the electron density (ρ) and its gradient, providing superior accuracy for systems with fluctuating electron density distributions.^{22,23} Compared to LDA, these functionals, tend to offer improved accuracy across a wider spectrum. Two well-known functionals in this category include the Becke (B) exchange functional²⁴ and the Lee-Yang-Parr (LYP) correlation functional.²⁵ While these functionals improve the description over LDA, they still face challenges in modeling dispersion interactions and systems where electron correlation plays a significant role.

3. Hybrid functionals

It integrates the exchange energy with contributions from exchange effects, along with the influence of approximations within DFT, including B3LYP. This 3-parameter functional is widely recognized for its popularity and efficiency.²⁶ Specifically, B3LYP incorporates:

i) Becke's 3-Parameter Functional: In this segment, exact H-F exchange is combined with corrections for exchange via gradients, yielding a more precise depiction of exchange interactions.

ii) LYP Correlation Functional: By providing a more detailed treatment of electron correlation, this component enhances the accuracy beyond what is achieved with basic density approximations.

iii) Optimised Parameters: The B3LYP functional adjusts the contribution of exact exchange and other components by utilizing specific parameters, optimizing its performance for a broad array of systems.

B3LYP, a popular hybrid functional, consistently aligns well with experimental results in numerous cases.^{27,28} This function has been particularly effective in modeling C-H activation reactions catalyzed by Fe-oxo entities,²⁹⁻³¹ especially with the TMC-ligated macrocycle.³²⁻³⁴ Additionally, rigorous benchmarking studies show that B3LYP delivers superior performance compared to other functionals,³⁵ which is why it has been chosen for our investigations.

2.2.3: Basis Set

It is a group of functions utilized to characterize molecular orbitals. These form the groundwork for expanding molecular orbitals and allow for the approximation of the wavefunction representing the electron.³⁶ The accuracy of a basis set increases as more basis functions are added, though this also escalates the computational cost. Achieving the right balance between accuracy and computational effort is essential. In addition, it incorporates two different categories of orbitals, as illustrated below.

1. STOs

The outline of functions of radicals modelled after hydrogen atoms are precisely captured by STOs, which contain a factor of $e^{-\zeta r}$, with ζ signifying the nucleus's effective charge and r being the distance.^{37,38} Every atomic orbital in a basis set is represented by a single STO or, alternatively, by a CGF. For instance, a single 1s function represents an H-atom, whereas a carbon atom requires five basis functions: 1s, 2s, and three 2p orbitals.

2. GTOs

It is described by a Gaussian function, $e^{-\zeta r^2}$.³⁹ While these functions decay in a Gaussian manner with increasing distance relative to the nucleus, this decay is less precise than the orbital type mentioned earlier.

As the orbital-type (slater) is more accurate in representing AOs, they are computationally expensive due to the complexity of integral molecules. While orbital-type (Gaussian), is being simpler computationally, albeit less precise. To improve accuracy, these are typically combined linearly to approximate STOs in QM computations.

3. Split Valence Basis Set

These are most commonly utilized, was formulated by Pople and his team. The name of each basis set encapsulates all important details, including the contraction scheme, ¹⁰¹ the number of basis functions, and the number of primitive Gaussians.⁴⁰ A simple visual representation of this is outlined in Fig. 2.2 below.

2.2.4 Additional Functions

1. Polarisation Functions

The basis functions must be sufficiently adaptable because molecular orbitals, which are eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger equation involving several nuclei at different spatial locations, demand greater mathematical flexibility than atomic orbitals. To achieve this

flexibility, AO-like GTOs are typically modified by incorporating these functions. The most commonly used such functions are d GTOs are commonly used for heavier elements, while ptype is utilized for H. Moreover, Pople and his colleagues developed a straightforward naming system to signify the inclusion of these functions, using the symbol "*" (pronounced "star").⁴¹ For example, 6-31G refers to the incorporation of d functions to modify the polarization of the p functions. Further, a 2nd star denotes the inclusion of p functions for hydrogen and helium, as seen in 6-311G**.

2. Diffuse Functions

E's in core orbitals, which participate in bonding, are generally more strongly attached to the nuclei. On the other hand, lone-pair e's are weaker bound and tend to be positioned further from the nuclei than bonding e's.^{42,43} The "+" notation is frequently used for these functions. For example, 6-31+G(d) refers to heavier atoms, extended by adding s as well as p functions with smaller exponents, further "+", in this context indicates the presence of diffuse s functions on H, as exemplified by 6-311++G(3df,2pd).

2.2.5: Solvation models

Solution-phase processes, as opposed to the gaseous phase, position this model as a crucial element within the scope of this specialized area of chemistry.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ These methods accurately simulate molecular behavior in solvent-dominated environments. Computational solvation can be approached through both explicit as well as implicit methods⁴⁷ (in Fig. 2.3).

The process of explicit solvation refers to the process where solvent particles individually surround the solute, while it has been found that implicit solvation treats the solvent as a uniform, continuous entity, rather than discrete molecules.⁴⁸ These models are frequently employed alongside QM calculations and are less computationally intensive. SCRF models were employed in this thesis to facilitate the analysis of such studies.⁴⁹ The solute is contained

within a cavity in CPCM, and a polarisable surface simulates the solvent's action.⁵⁰ SMD models solvation effects using empirical data based on the densities of the solute and solvent.⁵¹

2.2.6: Quantum Mechanical Tunneling and Kinetic Isotope Effect (KIE)

In the domain of quantum mechanics, particles such as protons or atoms like hydrogen can penetrate potential barriers that would be inaccessible according to classical energy constraints. Arising from the wave nature of particles, this phenomenon enables them to behave probabilistically rather than adhering to fixed trajectories. Therefore, particles are able to "pass-through" energy walls, evading the classical prerequisite to have enough KE, leads to break through the constraint.⁵²⁻⁵⁴ Referred to as tunneling, this quantum mechanical effect (illustrated in Fig. 2.4), enables particles to cross barriers that classical approach would prevent them from crossing.

2.2.7: Tools

Advancements in software technology and the increasing availability of tools have generated significant interest in this field of chemistry. The selection of these tools is influenced by factors such as cost, features, ease of use, and processing speed. In the studies presented here, key steps like geometry optimization, frequency calculations, and thermochemical analysis are carried out using Gaussian 16 software.⁵⁶ It utilizes DFT methods to conduct various electronic structure analyses. To render images, display results, and facilitate analysis, the GaussView⁵⁷ and Chemcraft⁵⁸ software were employed. Similar computational analyses can also be carried out using other software packages, including GAMESS, ORCA, TURBOMOLE, and others. Each tool comes with its specific set of features and functionalities, while Kisthelp software was applied to investigate tunneling.⁵⁹ A concise summary of these tools can be found in Fig. 2.5 below.

3.1: Introduction

Synthetic iron(IV)oxo complexes serve as bio-inspired models for active mononuclear nonheme iron enzymes which are now one of the prime interests in bioinorganic chemistry due to their roles in critical metabolic processes, toxin neutralization, DNA repair, etc.¹⁻⁵ These complexes have also been found to be very effective in the chemistry of C-H bond activation/functionalization.⁶⁻⁹ Because of the close interrelations of various reactivity factors e.g., the axial or equatorial ligand connectivity to central metal,^{10,11} general ligand architecture,^{12,13} energy of the acceptor orbital,^{14,15} spin state (which leads to a single-state, two-state or multi-state reactivity),¹⁵⁻¹⁷ quantum mechanical tunneling, etc.,^{15,18,19} that can all play vital roles, characterizing and comprehending the reactivity patterns of these bond-activation processes is extremely difficult.

High-valent metal oxo chemistry, specifically Fe(IV)O, is extremely rich due to its effectiveness in catalysis and for allowing significant mechanistic insights into the reactivity. The seemingly never-ending journey into investigating this chemistry was started through the synthesis of $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{TMC})(\text{L}_{\text{ax}})]^{n+}$ complexes^{20,21} and is still continuing today with full enthusiasm.^{2,3,5,22-27} Other than iron-oxo several other metal-oxo complexes e.g., Mn, Co, Cr, and Ru have also been found to be efficient catalysts.²⁸⁻³² A few high-valent metal-nitrido complexes have also been reported along with the oxo complexes.³³

A few particular remarkable theoretical perceptions were developed to enlighten the insights into the C-H activation reactivity of these complexes. Among others, the most promising one is the two-state reactivity (TSR).³⁴ In TSR, the excited high spin state surface in the reactant crosses the low spin ground state surface towards the transition state. The driving force behind this phenomenon is the exchange-enhanced reactivity (EER), which implies that the greater number of unpaired electrons in the transition states and intermediates provides extra stability, which is considered as the Hund's rule analogue in bioinorganic chemistry.^{35,36}

The TSR concept is able to explain plenty of observed reactivity patterns.^{15,18,19,37,38} This is also found useful in various cases other than iron-oxo.^{39,40}

TSR can be explicitly verified by comparing the observed and calculated kinetic isotope effects (KIEs). In the C-H activation mechanism, the first H-abstraction step is considered as the rate-determining step. Consequently, H/D KIE can work as a sensitive spin state probe as it will depend on the spin state pathway.^{15,16,18,19,38}

The C-H activation catalysed by Fe(IV)O complexes follows a one-electron transfer mechanism and it can be presented using a very simplistic push-pull diagram (Fig. 3.1).

The electrophilicity of the central metal depends on the amount of electron density shifting from the ligands. In the classical sense, the reaction should follow an electrophilic trend, i.e., the more electron-deficient metals in the complex should be more reactive, as has been observed in some investigations.⁴¹

However in a few cases, opposite type of reactivity pattern was observed, e.g., an investigation performed by Sastri *et.al.*²⁰ with a series of $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{TMC})(\text{L}_{\text{ax}})]^{n+}$ complexes, where L_{ax} is different axial ligands (Scheme 3.1a) established anti-electrophilicity controlled hydrogen-atom transfer.¹⁰

In a complementary theoretical investigation, it was found that the reason behind the anti-electrophilic reactivity was quantum mechanical tunneling, which increased with the presence of more electron-releasing groups.^{10,15} Several other efforts were also focused on trying to understand the effect of electron tuning by different substitutions to the macrocyclic ligand that is indirectly connected to the central metal atom.^{27,42} Que and co-workers⁴³ reported an investigation that included a series of $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{LPy}_2)(\text{L}_{\text{ax}})]^{2+}$ (Scheme 3.1b) complexes, where L_{ax} represented differently substitutions at the 4-position of axial pyridine of N-oxide ligands. In this case, the hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) rates were also accelerated with more electron-donating ligands, like $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{TMC})(\text{L}_{\text{ax}})]^{n+}$ complexes.¹⁰ Chang and co-workers⁴⁴ reported a

series of Fe(IV)O complexes (Scheme 3.1c), with a penta-pyridine macrocyclic ligand substituted at the para-position of a pyridine axial ligand. In contrast to the aforementioned pattern, here the electrophilic reactivity pattern was observed, i.e., electron-poor ligands showed faster rates compared to their electron-rich ligands. Hitomi *et al.*,⁴⁵ also synthesized a $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{dpaq-X})]^+$ complex, where dpaq was a macrocycle with monoamido ligation (Scheme 3.1d) which was found to be more reactive than the popularly investigated iron-oxo complexes, e.g., $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{N4Py})]^{2+}$ complex.⁴⁶

In this article, our focus is dedicated to establishing the mechanism of hydrogen-atom abstraction catalyzed by a series of nonheme $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{dpaq-X})]^+$ complexes formed by variable substitution at the 5 position of the quinoline ring of dpaq, which represents 2-bis(pyridine-2-yl-methyl)amino-N-quinolin-8-yl-acetamido, and where X is a substituent group at the 5-position of a quinoline moiety in the parent ligand dpaq-H. Both electron-donating groups (EDGs) e.g., $(-\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2)$, $-\text{OMe}$, and electron-withdrawing groups (EWGs) e.g., $(-\text{NO}_2)$, $(-\text{SO}_2\text{CF}_3)$, were used as substituents other than the unsubstituted parent compound. Quantum mechanical tunneling is now believed to be the third reactivity-controlling factor.^{47,48} So, the presence of quantum mechanical tunneling and its variation with an electron-donating tendency of the substituents were also investigated here to verify the anti-electrophilic tunneling as well as the reactivity proposition reported earlier.¹⁵ Tunneling corrected KIEs were calculated and compared with experiments to determine the spin state reactivity. The percentage tunneling contributions towards the total reactions were also calculated.

The reactivity of the parent compound was also compared with other popular non-heme Fe(IV)O compounds. The investigated reactions are presented in the following Scheme 3.2.

In the following discussion, the compound's names will be mentioned by their group of substitution, e.g., OMe, NO_2 , etc., and H for the parent compound. A general substrate ethylbenzene (EB) is used for all reactions.

3.2: Computational Details

All the computations related to geometry optimization, frequency, and thermochemistry were performed using DFT-B3LYP methods. B3LYP is a function developed by Lee-Yang-Parr^{49,50} that is quite frequently used in organometallics, especially in the case of FeO complexes.^{15,18,51} The LANL2DZ basis set⁵² with its electrostatic potential was used for Fe and Pople's split valance double zeta 6-31G** basis set⁵³ was used for all the atoms. The ClO₄⁻ counter ion was also used to neutralize the charge of the system to overcome the self-interaction error in DFT.⁵⁴ The geometry was also optimized in the presence of implicit acetonitrile solvent using the self-consistent reaction field (SCRF)⁵⁵ procedure with Truhlar's solvation model density approximation (SMD).⁵⁶ This level of theory is represented here as B1.

The transition states were verified from the frequency calculations. Local minima corresponded to no imaginary frequency whereas transition states corresponded to one imaginary frequency. Thermal and entropic corrections to the Gibbs free energy at T = 273 K (0 °C) were made. The energies were further refined at the higher basis 6-311++G(d,p) for all atoms, and for Fe, SDD with its ECP was used.⁵⁷ This level of theory is counted as B2. The Gaussian 16 program package was used for all computations mentioned above.⁵⁸

The accurate identification of the electronic state was determined using spin densities, mulliken charges, and natural spin orbitals. For result analysis, visualization, and drawing images Chemcraft software has been used.⁵⁹ Mainly zero-point corrected energy at the B2 level was used for further discussion unless otherwise mentioned.

3.3: Tunneling Corrections and Kinetic Isotope Effect (KIE) Calculations

The rate constants calculations were performed using Eyring's transition state theory (Eq. 3.1) approach.⁶⁰

Where, κ and σ imply the transmission coefficient and reaction symmetry, respectively. The

quantum mechanical tunneling factor, i.e., transmission coefficients, were computed using one-dimensional asymmetric Eckart Method,⁶¹ which has been successfully used in several H-transfer/migration processes.^{15,18,19,62-64} This method used the ZPE-corrected energy of the reactant, transition states, and products along with the imaginary frequency, which builds up the mass-weighted intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC).^{65,66} The transmission coefficient's influence on the barrier was computed using the following Eq 3.2:

Where R is the universal gas constant, T is the absolute temperature, and $\Delta\Delta E_{\text{tun}}^{\ddagger}$ implies the quantitative reduction of a barrier of activation due to the tunneling.

To validate the presence of the tunneling factor, kinetic isotopic effects (KIEs) for all the compounds were also computed. The frequency of the stationary states belonged to the reaction between Fe(IV)O complexes with EB and its deuterium substituted equivalents were used in the KIE calculations, which were calculated after incorporation of the contribution of tunneling using the following Eq 3.3:

where κ_{H} and κ_{D} are the transmission coefficients of hydrogen and its deuterated isotopomers.

The Wigner method has also been used to find and compare the tunneling effect.⁶⁷ But this method expressively provides low values of tunneling. All the kinetics and tunneling were computed using the kisthelp2019 program.⁶⁸

3.4: Result and Discussion

3.4.1: Spin-State Preference and Two-State Reactivity (TSR)

Fe(IV)O complexes may possess two close-lying spin states, namely $S=1$ (two unpaired electrons) and $S=2$ (four unpaired electrons). Here, we observed the same Table 3.1, with $S=1$ as the ground state and $S=2$ as a very close-lying excited state.

The ΔE_{TQ} for H is 1.7 kcal mol⁻¹, which was slightly increased in the case of electron-withdrawing substituent ~2.4-2.7 (NO₂ and SO₂CF₃) and was found to be almost constant for electron-donating substances (N(CH₃)₂ and OMe). As ΔE_{TQ} was found to be similar, even

smaller, compared to the other Fe(IV)O complexes showing two-state reactivity (TSR),³⁸ these complexes also might exhibit the same. Therefore, we computed both the S=1 and S=2 pathways. The geometries of the oxidants were almost unaffected by these substitutions as presented in Fig. 3.2.

3.4.2: Electron Shifting Diagram

This was a one-electron transfer process from the substrate to the oxidant, with the spin of the shifting electron and the acceptor orbital of the oxidant depending on the spin state pathways.

An electron shifting diagram is presented in Fig. 3.3.

It was shown that in the S=1 path, a β electron transfer to the π anti-bonding orbital took place, which promotes the H-transfer through a π trajectory with the \sim FeOH angle of \sim 120°, while if it follows the S=2 path, then α electron shifts to the $\sigma^*_{z^2}$ orbital through σ trajectory with FeOH angle \sim 180°.

Here the quintet transition state was found to be lower in energy than the triplet transition state, due to the favourable increase in exchange interactions (due to higher number of unpaired electrons in the S=2 transition state compared to S=1) on the quintet energy surface throughout the reaction, which was a manifestation of the exchange-enhanced reactivity (EER) concept.³⁵ Consequently, as the reaction proceeds, the quintet surface (initially the excited state) crosses the triplet surface (initially the ground state) and produces a more stable triplet intermediate.

3.4.3: Electrophilicity

Classically, electron transfer should be favoured with the electrophilicity of the central metal atom, which can be further influenced by the EDG/EWG substitution. The energy of the $\sigma^*_{z^2}$ acceptor orbital in the S=2 state, i.e., the energy of LUMO can be a direct proof of electrophilicity. An expected qualitative picture can be obtained from Fig. 3.4, i.e., with EDG substituent, the energies of the LUMO increased (-5.36 eV for N(CH₃)₂ and -5.39 eV for OMe),

whereas it decreased for EWG substituent (-5.96 eV for NO₂ and -5.98 eV for SO₂CF₃) compared to the unsubstituted parent compound (-5.56 eV for H).

However, this electrophilicity did not affect the reactivity much, as reflected by the classical energy of activation presented in Fig. 3.5.

The barrier of activation for all compounds was within ± 0.3 kcal mol⁻¹, which could be counted as being within the error limit of DFT. In the case of free energy barriers also, this ranged within ± 0.6 kcal mol⁻¹.

Next, we attempted to find out the presence and implications of quantum mechanical tunneling.

All the computed tunneling-related parameters are summarized in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2 Imaginary frequencies of the transition states ($\nu_{\text{H}}^{\ddagger}$), transmission coefficients for H (κ_{H}) and D (κ_{D}), kinetic isotope effect at 298K, tunneling correction values ($\Delta\Delta E_{\text{tun}}^{\ddagger}$, in kcal mol⁻¹) at 298 K and tunneling corrected barrier ($\Delta E - \Delta\Delta E_{\text{tun}}^{\ddagger}$, in kcal mol⁻¹) at the B2+ZPE level and the tunneling contribution (%tunneling) of the overall reaction for H-abstraction from EB.

* Experimental value at 298K

It is stimulating to appreciate that quantum mechanical tunneling is influenced by the substituent. The value of transmission coefficients (κ_{H}) for the N(CH₃)₂ (25.0) and OMe (23.7) increases compared to the base compounds (20.8). Whereas for electron-withdrawing substituents, it significantly decreases, e.g. for NO₂ and SO₂CF₃ the values of κ_{H} were 10.1 and 10.4, respectively. Therefore, the anti-electrophilic tunneling trend was followed at this point. This can be comprehended by looking at the trend of imaginary frequencies of the transition states, which reduced for EWGs and increased for EDGs. The quantum mechanical tunneling is a path function and the imaginary frequencies control the reaction potential energy surface (PES). The higher the imaginary frequencies, the sharper PES becomes, i.e., H has to pass a lesser distance, which makes tunneling more favourable.¹⁵ The narrowness of the PES occurs

due to the electrostatic contribution created by the more EDG substituents.³⁸ To get further insight into the tunneling, we plotted in Fig. 3.6, the transmission coefficients and KIE's versus the imaginary frequencies of the transition state.

This graph clearly shows a distinctive pattern of the variations of the tunneling contribution (TC) and KIE with the imaginary frequency. The unsubstituted compound occupies the intermediate position in the graph, whereas the EDG- and EWG-substituent compound are at the higher and lower ends, respectively. This can be explained by the structure of the transition states. For EWGs, reactant-like and for EDG, more symmetric transition state structures (concerning breaking C-H and forming O-H bond) were obtained, which increased the value of imaginary frequencies from $-\text{SO}_2\text{CF}_3$ to $\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2$. The images of transition state structures are also depicted along with the PES in Fig. 3.5.

To get the influence of quantum mechanical tunneling on the barrier of activation, a plot of the classical energy of activation (ΔE_{act}) and tunneling corrected activation energy is presented in Fig. 3.7.

The red star in the figure implies the classical activation energy, which has neither an effect on the reactivity nor the reactivity trend. After subtraction of the barrier reduction quantity due to tunneling (calculated using Eq. 3.2) we got the points depicted as a blue square in Fig. 3.7. These points verified the tunneling contributed to the anti-electrophilic reactivity trends as the activation energy increased in the case of EWG substituents, whereas for EDGs, the effect was not so prominent. Therefore, from the aforesaid discussion, it can be concluded that electron tuning by the substituent can alter the reactivity by tunneling and the effect will be more prominent in the case of more potent EDG or EWG substituents. From the values of Table 3.2 it is also clear that more than 90% of the reactions were performed through tunneling even at room temperature 298K. Again, in the case of EDG, this percentage reaches up to 96% whereas for EWG it was lower at 90%.

3.4.4: TSR and KIE

The KIE can be a direct proof to classify the spin state reactivity. We computed KIE for all reactions in both S=1 and S=2 states and presented them in Table 3.2. It is clear from the table that for the base compound (unsubstituted), the reported KIE (298K) was 32, which was closer to S=2 (22) but far away from the value (71) at the S=1 state, which proves the existence of two-state reactivity.

3.4.5: Discussion of the Reactivity with N4Py

We extended our investigation to verify the reactivity of unsubstituted $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{dpaq-X})]^+$ (1) with $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{N4Py})]^{2+}$ (2). The related potential energy surface is presented also in Fig. 3.5(f). Experimentally, it was reported that the first one was more reactive as compared to the second one by $\sim 1.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ in MeCN. We compared next the energy of activation of S=2 state for 1 with S=1 state for 2, as the earlier reported KIE for 2 with EB suggested the S=1 state was the reactive spin state. The classical energy of activation difference between 1 and 2 was $\sim 3.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$, which could be further improved to $1.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ by the integration of the tunneling effect, which showed very good agreement with the experiment ($\sim 1.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$). The value of $\Delta\Delta E_{\text{min}}$ for 2 was considered to be $\sim 3.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ from the previous investigation, which may slightly be altered in the current level of theory but will not change the fact.

3.5: Conclusion

We investigated here the hydrogen-abstraction reactivity of a series of nonheme $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{dpaq-X})]^+$ complexes towards ethylbenzene as a substrate. Four substituted dpaq compounds (including two electron-withdrawing and two electron-donating groups) were considered here along with the unsubstituted one.

We showed that the available experimental KIE value (32) for an unsubstituted compound was consistent with the value of theoretical (tunneling corrected) KIE (22) only if the H-abstraction reactions proceeded through the quintet state (S = 2), whereas the S = 1 state, KIE (71) were

very high compare to the experiment. Consequently, these outcomes provide a valid justification of the two-state reactivity (TSR) premise driven by the exchange-enhanced reactivity (EER) concepts.

The energies of the acceptor orbitals, σ^*z^2 , define the electrophilicity trend for the substituent, but the reactivity was not much influenced classically by this. The barrier height varied only within ± 0.3 kcal mol⁻¹; though, notable impacts of the substituents were found on the transmission coefficient and the energy of activation.

We observed that tunneling was enhanced with strong EDGs. Although the effect was not so strong as with axial ligand alteration, where tunneling showed the anti-electrophilic propensity in H-abstraction by cutting more of the corresponding energy of activation when the substitution was for a stronger electron donor.¹⁵ Finally, after combining the tunnel effect, we got a pattern that established the anti-electrophilic reactivity. More than 90% of the reactions were performed through tunneling, which was boosted to up to 96% for N(CH₃)₂.

Further comparison of the reactivity of [Fe^{IV}(O)(dpaq-X)]⁺ with [Fe^{IV}(O)(N4Py)]²⁺ also provided very good agreement with the experimental outcomes. This also might be due to the EER effect applicable on dpaq as it followed the S=2 surface, whereas there was no EER facilitation for N4Py as it followed the S=1 state.

Finally, from the aforementioned discussion, we can claim that this investigation strongly demonstrated the effect of electron tuning on quantum mechanical tunneling. These predictions certainly inspire the bioinorganic community to synthesize the oxidants with strong electron-donating groups.

4.1: Introduction

The cofactor of metalloenzymes and their synthetic equivalents mostly consists of high-valent metal oxo species that catalyze various organic (bio)transformations.¹⁻⁵ The reactivity of various such synthetic metal-oxo complexes in C-H bond activation and oxygen atom transfer processes has been widely studied.⁶⁻¹⁰ To comprehend the chemical and physical properties, as well as the mechanistic actions, of these complexes, a variety of experimental, spectroscopic, and computational approaches have been employed.¹¹ Several parameters influencing the reactivity have been also identified, e.g., the central transition metal atom, their oxidation state, and spin,^{12,13} the connective macrocycles,^{14,15} axial and equatorial ligands, etc.^{16,17} High-valent iron-oxo species have attracted the greatest attention in this field when used as the central metal in metal-oxo complexes.¹⁸⁻²¹ A seemingly never-ending search to understand this chemistry began with the synthesis of $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{TMC})(\text{L}_{\text{ax}})]^{\text{p}+}$ complexes (L_{ax} - axial ligand),^{22,23} and it is still continuing strong today.²⁴⁻³⁰ Besides iron, several high-valent metal-oxo complexes such as those containing Mn, Cr, and Ru have also been found as effective catalysts for C-H activations.^{28,31-34} Mn-oxo compounds are known to play a significant role in enzymatic and catalytic oxidation reactions, such as in an oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) in Photosystem II.^{35,36} Many biomimetic mononuclear heme and nonheme Mn(IV)O complexes have also been reported as powerful C-H activation catalysts.³⁷⁻⁴⁵ Few investigations are also available related to chromium-oxo complexes and their catalysis.^{46,47} High-valent metal-oxo complexes for d7 and more are rare due to the oxo-wall effect.³²

Several investigations have been reported where Ru has been used as the central metal instead of iron in biomimetic metal-oxo complexes.^{48,49} Shaik and his coworkers reported a comparative electronic structure analysis of the iron-oxo porphyrin and its Ru analog.^{50,51} Various experimental investigations were also reported on the mechanistic insight into the C-H activation catalyzed by Ru(IV)O complexes.⁵²⁻⁵⁴ A few instances have shown that the

reactivity of Fe(IV)O complexes follows a counterintuitive trend, i.e., anti-electrophilicity of Fe.^{12,16} In contrast, the reactivity Ru(IV)O complexes follows the reverse, i.e., electrophilicity pattern.⁵⁵ However, even though considerable attention has been paid to the mechanistic insights and reactivity of Fe and Ru-oxo complexes, a full examination of them with respect to other critical reactivity-regulating factors, such as steric, electronic, hydrogen tunneling, and so on, has yet to be accomplished.

Here, an in-depth DFT analysis was conducted in order to compare the C-H activation reactivity of Fe(IV)O complexes and their Ru counterpart with two ligand macrocycles. The oxidant and substrate details are presented in Fig. 4.1.

Two alternative macrocyclic ligand frameworks were chosen for this purpose: tpy(dcbpy) [(tpy=2,2':6',2''-terpyridine, dcbpy=5,5'-dicarboxy-2,2'-bipyridine)] and TMC with an axially tethered -SCH₂CH₂ group (referred to as TMCS). Macrocyclic tpy(dcbpy) is a well-liked ligand that forms the Ru(IV)O complex⁵⁶, whereas TMCS is the standard macrocyclic ligand that stabilises the Fe(IV)O moiety.¹⁶ To facilitate discussion, we numbered the oxo complexes of Ru and Fe with tpy(dcbpy) as 1 and 1' and with TMCS as 2 and 2'. Three distinct substrates, e.g., dihydroanthracene (DHA), ethylbenzene (EB), and benzyl alcohol (BA) are also used as the source of the C-H bond. Their reactivity may be immensely controlled by quantum mechanical tunneling, as great pieces of evidence have been shown with unusual kinetic isotope effects (KIE).^{12,57-59} As a result, we also looked into the role of KIE and hydrogen tunneling effects in reactions mentioned in Fig. 4.1.

4.2: Computational Details

Using DFT-B3LYP techniques, all computations related to geometry optimization, frequency, and thermochemistry have been performed. DFT investigations of the organometallic complexes mostly employ the Becke-Lee-Yang-Parr developed functional B3LYP.^{60,61} The Pople's split valence double zeta 6-31G* basis set⁶² was used for all atoms except metal ions

Fe and Ru, where LANL2DZ⁶³ basis set with its electrostatic potential was used. This level of theory is designated as B1. To address undesired self-interaction errors in DFT, the total positive charge of the systems was neutralised using the ClO₄⁻ and SO₃CF₃⁻ counterions.⁶⁴ At the same level of theory, vibrational frequency calculations were done to validate the transition states, where the existence of one imaginary frequency denotes the transition state, and the absence of imaginary frequencies indicates minima. The thermal and entropic corrections to the Gibbs free energy were performed at 298 K.

The single-point energy corrections were also conducted in the same method using 6-311++G(2d,2p) (for all atoms except metals) and SDD-ECP (for Fe/Ru) basis set,⁶⁵ named B2. The effect of acetonitrile solvent is also considered implicitly using the self-consistent reaction field (SCRF)⁶⁶ approach with Truhlar's solvation model density (SMD) approximation. All the computations mentioned above were performed using the Gaussian 16 software package.⁶⁷ The calculation of the percentage of buried volumes and free space was performed using the SambVca 2.1 software.⁶⁸ Spin densities, Mulliken charges, and natural spin orbitals were used to identify the electronic state accurately. Chemcraft software was utilized for the result analysis, visualization, and drawing of images.⁶⁹ Unless otherwise specified, zero-point corrected energy at the B2 level is mainly considered for further discussion.

4.3: Tunneling Corrections and Kinetic Isotope Effect (KIE) Calculations

The rate constants were computed using Eyring's transition state theory⁷⁰ as given in Eq. 4.1. Where κ denotes the transmission coefficient and σ denotes reaction symmetry. The tunneling calculation was performed using a one-dimensional asymmetric Eckart Method⁷¹, popular in numerous H-transfer/migration processes.^{12,57,72-74} This approach constructs the mass-weighted intrinsic reaction coordinate using the zero-point energy (ZPE)-corrected energy of the reactant, transition states, and products with the imaginary frequency of transition states.^{75,76} The following equation is used to calculate the transmission coefficient's impact on the

activation barrier.

Where $\Delta\Delta E^*_{\text{tun}}$ implies the quantitative reduction of an activation barrier caused by tunneling, whereas R and T represent the universal gas constant and absolute temperature, respectively.

Kinetic isotopic effects (KIEs) for all reactions were calculated to verify the existence of the quantum mechanical tunneling. In the KIE calculations, the frequency of the stationary states and their deuterium-substituted equivalents were employed, obtained after accounting for the impact of tunneling using the following Eq. 4.3.

Where κ_H and κ_D symbolize the transmission coefficients of hydrogen and its deuterated isotopomers. The tunneling effect has also been compared with the Wigner approximation.⁷⁷

The kisthelp2019 software⁷⁸ was used to compute all the kinetics and tunneling.

4.4: Results and Discussion

4.4.1: Spin-State Preferences

Due to degenerate orbitals, unpaired electrons, the strength of the ligand, and other factors, transition metal complexes, primarily those with d4 to d7 electron configurations, may have distinct spin states (high or low spin). Here, the Fe(IV) and Ru(IV) complex species are in d4 configuration and can have possible triplet and quintet spin states. The estimated spin state energy gap (ΔE_{Q-T}) for Fe(IV)O is approximately 10 kcal mol⁻¹, but Ru(IV)O complexes with the same ligand framework have a very high ΔE_{Q-T} of 35 kcal mol⁻¹. Therefore, the quintet state is accessible in Fe(IV)O and may include two-state reactivity (TSR), whereas Ru(IV)O cannot access the quintet state, which offers single-state reactivity (SSR). This was predicted in previous theoretical calculations.⁵⁵ The interplay between orbital-promoting energy and exchange interaction, which depends on the number of unpaired electrons and the energy difference across orbitals, largely determines the preferred spin state. In Fe(IV) complexes, the orbital promotion energy is typically lower than the exchange interaction energy, whereas in

Ru(IV), the reverse is true.⁷⁹ We therefore examined both spin state pathways for Fe(IV)O in the current study as well as only the triplet ground state for Ru(IV)O complex.

4.4.2: Electron Shift Diagram

The hydrogen atom abstraction follows the transfer of one electron from the substrate to the π^* or σ^*_{22} of the metal-oxo moiety depending on its spin state as depicted in Fig. 4.2.

The transfer of a β electron to the π^* of M=O depicted in red occurs in the triplet state generally called the π trajectory, with the M–O–H angle in the transition state being $\sim 120^\circ$. The blue arrow indicates the shifting of an α electron to the σ^*_{22} orbital, which occurs in the quintet state called the σ pathway with a preferable M–O–H angle of $\sim 180^\circ$. Therefore, in accordance with ΔE_{Q-T} , Fe(IV)O catalyzed pathway follows, an exchanged mediated σ trajectory although it possesses a triplet ground state in reactant which is usually known as two-state reactivity (TSR).⁸⁰ Due to the inaccessibility of higher states in the case of Ru(IV)O, only the π trajectory is possible.

4.4.3: Reactivity

In this section, we have compared the C–H activation reactivity of Ru (1 and 2) with Fe (1' and 2') complexes. The potential energy surface for reactions involving the substrates BA and EB catalysed by the complexes of 1 and 1' is shown in Fig 4.3.

The red line of Fig. 4.3a makes evident that 1 cannot exhibit two-state reactivity as the quintet state possesses 61.1 kcal mol⁻¹ higher energy than that of the triplet state, which is itself even four times higher compared to the triplet transition state. For H-abstraction catalysed by 1, the activation energies for BA and EB are found to be 12.5 and 16.1 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively. This is quite expected as EB possesses quite a high bond dissociation energy (85.4 kcal mol⁻¹) compared to BA (79.0 kcal mol⁻¹).^{81,82} Our calculated BDE values of 78.1 kcal mol⁻¹ for BA and 84.2 kcal mol⁻¹ for EB were highly congruent with the experimental BDE value mentioned.

As previously stated, the 1^1 has ⁸⁸ two-state reactivity in which the quintet state (the excited state at reactant) crosses the triplet path and becomes lower in transition state and product as presented in Fig. 4.3b. The activation energy of 1^1 -catalysed processes is 9.1 ³ kcal mol⁻¹ for BA and 11.3 kcal mol⁻¹ for EB, which is significantly lower than that of the Ru counterpart. This also illustrates the trend of rising energy of activation with C-H BDE.

In order to establish two significant findings, we have expanded our investigation using a second macrocycle, TMCS, with two distinct substrates, such as EB and DHA. The substrate EB is utilised to compare the C-H activation reactivity of macrocyclic ligands tpy-dcbpy and TMCS. The substrate DHA will strengthen facts to understand the C-H activation reactivity versus the substrate's bond dissociation energy. The ¹⁰ Ru(IV)O and Fe(IV)O complexes with TMCS ligands are represented as 2 and 2' as shown in Fig. 4.1. ⁵ The potential energy surface for the reaction of 2 and 2' with EB is presented in Fig. 4.4.

⁷⁷ Fig. 4.4 shows that the activation barrier for the H-abstraction reaction catalyzed by 2 is 24.3 ⁶⁰ kcal mol⁻¹, which is significantly higher than the activation barrier (16.1 kcal mol⁻¹) in the reaction catalyzed by 1 (Fig. 4.3a). A similar trend was found for the reaction between 2' with EB, ³ with an activation energy of 13.7 kcal mol⁻¹, but the barrier for the identical reaction in the case of 1^1 is 11.1 kcal mol⁻¹. This fact unequivocally proves the superior catalytic power of tpy-dcbpy over that of the macrocycle TMCS. Next, ⁵ the potential energy surface for the reaction of 2 and 2' with DHA is presented in Fig. 4.5.

¹⁵ The C-H activation barriers of DHA catalysed by 2 and 2' are 18.8 ⁶⁹ kcal mol⁻¹ and 9.6 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively, which are significantly lower than the barrier shown in Fig. 4.4. Fig. 4.4 and 4.5 make it abundantly evident that Fe(IV)O complexes, regardless of the ligand macrocycle or substrate, are far more reactive than their Ru counterparts.

4.4.4: Aliphatic vs. Aromatic Hydroxylation

In an investigation, de Visser ⁸⁵ *et. al.*,⁸³ have shown that the axial ligand of a heme-type Fe(IV)O complex has a quantitative impact on the regioselective hydroxylation of EB. With this as a motive, we were also curious to see if the metal modification affected the selective hydroxylation in EB. We have considered the complex 1 and 1' for this purpose. The activation energies and the transition states for aromatic hydroxylation are presented in Table 4.1 and Fig. 4.6.

The activation energies for the aromatic hydroxylation of EB catalysed by 1 and 1' are 27.9 and 15.2 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively, (in Table 4.1), which is significantly higher than C-H activation energy barriers (16.1 and 11.3 for 1 and 1' respectively), as shown in Fig. 4.3. These energies offer two intriguing pieces of evidence: first, in accordance with aliphatic hydroxylation, the Fe(IV)O complex is more reactive in aromatic hydroxylation than its Ru counterpart, and second, regardless of the central metal ion, aliphatic hydroxylation is more favourable than aromatic hydroxylation. Therefore, it was decided not to investigate the aromatic hydroxylation reactions any further. We can now proceed to explore the insight into the greater C-H activation reactivity (aliphatic hydroxylation) of the Fe(IV)O complex.

4.4.5: Steric Factor

In the context of the accessibility of the abstractor, M=O, towards the substrate, the value of free space has been reported in Table 4.2. The values e.g., 10.9% and 10.4% for ³1 and ⁵1', respectively, indicate that the free spaces are not much dependent on the metal atom. The same trend of available space is observed for ³2 (2.8%) and ⁵2' (3.4%). This evidence allows us to confidently state that while the steric factors do not prefer one metal over another, they can contribute to the higher reactivity of the tpy-dcbpy complex.

4.4.6: Distortion Energy

We then proceeded to check the essential distortion energy required to achieve the transition states and the corresponding values are given in Table 4.3.

The table clearly shows that the distortion energies for the Ru complexes are around 5-7 kcal mol⁻¹ higher than those for the comparable Fe complexes, perfectly depicting the pattern of the reaction's activation energies. It is also worth noting that substrate deformation is frequently noted as the primary contributor to overall distortion energy, and that it is substantially higher in the case of Ru complexes when compared to Fe complexes. This could be as a result of mostly early transition states for Fe and late transition states for Ru.

4.4.7: Energy of the Electron Acceptor Orbital in Oxidant (E_{AO})

The electron transfer from substrate to oxidant is essentially followed by this C-H activation procedure. Therefore, in this process, oxidants with low-lying acceptor orbitals will be more reactive. In accordance with this rationale, we further examined and contrasted the acceptor orbital energies of the Ru complexes 1 and 2 with those of their Fe counterparts 1' and 2'. Continuing from the electron shift diagram Fig. 4.2, the $\pi^*_{xz/yz}$ orbital is thought of as the acceptor orbital for Ru complexes while the σ^*_{z2} orbital is thought of as being that for Fe, and the corresponding images with their energy values are presented in Fig. 4.7.

It shows that the acceptor orbitals of Ru complexes 1 (-8.91 eV) and 2 (-5.46 eV) have higher energies than those of their equivalent Fe counterparts 1' (-9.07 eV) and 2' (-6.03 eV). This also explains why the Fe complexes have a higher C-H activation reactivity than those of the Ru complexes. It is also important to note that, regardless of the metal atom, the energy of E_{AO} is substantially lower in the case of the tpy-dcbpy macrocycle when compared to TMCS.

4.4.8: Hydrogen Tunneling

The investigation of Myeong *et.al.*, and Johanness *et.al.*,^{59,84} reported extraordinarily high kinetic isotope effect (k_H/k_D) values for the H-abstraction reaction of the 1+BA (54.5 at 298K) and 2'+DHA (80 at 253K) systems, respectively, clearly signifies the presence of H-tunneling in the reaction. Therefore, in order to generalise the reactivity pattern, a thorough comparison of the extent of the tunneling impact on the C-H activation reactivity catalysed by Ru

complexes and their Fe counterpart has been taken into account and the corresponding outcomes are outlined in Table 4.4.

Our estimated values for 1+BA (48.3) show a very near match with the experiment. However, the computed values for 2'-DHA show a variation as the experimental KIE has been reported at very low temperature, which is typically a concern with the Eckart method. An intriguing characteristic is found from the table that Ru compounds have very high transmission coefficients (69.9, 43.6, 487.9 and 1059.9 for the reaction of 1 + BA, 1 + EB, 2+EB and 2 + DHA, respectively) when compared to their Fe equivalent (1.3, 2.0, 184.7 and 217.8 for the reaction of 1'+BA, 1'+EB, 2'+EB and 2'+DHA, respectively). This could be due to the narrow potential energy surface represented by the imaginary frequency ($\nu_{\ddagger H}$) and high activation energy. According to the transmission coefficient, Ru has a higher barrier-lowering energy than Fe (column 5), but this does not change the pattern (column 6); rather, it minimises the difference in their activation energies. It is also crucial to note that tunneling contributes more than 96% of the reactions overall, with the exception of 1'+BA and 1'+EB, where it contributes more than 72%.

4.5: Conclusion

A number of significant conclusions can be drawn from the extensive DFT data presented here, which compare the C-H activation reactivities of mononuclear nonheme Fe(IV)O and Ru(IV)O complexes containing two similar macrocyclic ligand frameworks, namely tpy-dcbpy, and TMCS. It was found that compared to their Ru-oxo counterparts, Fe-oxo complexes are more reactive towards HAT reactions. Regardless of the metal atom, the tpy-dcbpy complexes are shown to be significantly more reactive than the TMCS complexes. It was also found that aliphatic hydroxylation is advantageous irrespective of the oxidant's central metal atom. Several important insights into this reactivity pattern have been identified. In contrast to Ru(IV)O, which lacks an exchange-enhanced transition state because of the inaccessible

quintet state, Fe(IV)O exhibits two-state reactivity as usual, where exchange interaction between unpaired electrons stabilises ³² the transition states and increases the reactivity. In addition, ¹² compared to Ru(IV)O, deformation energies favour the formation of transition states in the case of Fe(IV)O. The energy of the LUMO, or an electron acceptor orbital of the oxidants, which is ²⁰ found to be lower in Fe(IV)O compared to its Ru equivalent, is the next crucial factor for reactivity. Furthermore, it is notably lower in the tpy-dcbpy macrocycle than that in its TMCS counterpart, which adds to the tpy-dcbpy macrocycle's higher reactivity. More than 72% of each reaction is carried out by the H-atom's quantum mechanical tunneling, which ⁴⁸ has a considerable effect on the reaction. The tunneling ²⁶ contribution as well as KIE's are significantly higher in HAT catalysed by Ru complexes than that in Fe complexes. This is because Ru has a narrow potential energy surface, which is implied by the high ²⁶ imaginary frequency in the transition state. However, ²⁶ the reactivity pattern has not changed noticeably even after the quantum influence on the activation energy was taken into account. Finally, it is evident from this analysis that ruthenium-oxo is less reactive than ¹⁹ the high-valent iron-oxo complex, suggesting that Fe is a cofactor in most metalloenzymes that catalyse C-H activation. This finding may indicate that Fe is the natural choice for cofactor in most metalloenzymes.

5.1: Introduction

Currently, synthetic iron(IV)-oxo complexes, which serve as bio-inspired models for active mononuclear non-heme iron enzymes, are gaining interest for their potential in catalyzing C-H activation reactions.¹⁻⁹ These oxygen-activating metalloenzymes are involved in vital metabolic activities, toxin inactivation, DNA repair, and more. The structural and electronic characteristics of their metal-binding active sites are significantly influenced by relatively weak field carboxylate ligation which facilitates a diverse array of oxidative transformations.¹⁰⁻¹³ The 2-His-1-carboxylate facial triad¹⁴⁻¹⁸ has been mostly identified as the associated structural motif for the active sites of these enzymes such as (i) α -ketoglutarate (α -KG) dependent-taurine dioxygenase (TauD), (ii) 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidases (ACCO), (iii) phenylalanine hydroxylase (PheH), a pterin-dependent hydroxylase) as presented in Fig. 5.1.¹⁹⁻

31

Numerous mononuclear Fe(II) complexes linked with two carboxylate donors have also been extensively characterised (in Fig. 5.1).³²⁻³⁹ In line with this McDonald *et al.*,⁴⁰ synthesized the macrocycle BuP2DA by substituting the two pyridine donors N4Py with carboxylate. They also demonstrated that the C-H activation reactivity of the Fe(IV)O-BuP2DA complex was found to be 6-fold larger than that of the N4Py counterpart. Several investigations have shown that enrichment with oxygen or sulfur donors in the macrocycle, in addition to carboxylate, increases the reactivity of Fe(IV)O compared to nitrogen.^{41,42} Que and co-workers⁴³ reported that the reactivity of the Fe(IV)O unit is increased by the presence of a trans-carboxylate ligand in comparison to a neutral acetonitrile ligand. While considerable research has been dedicated to the mechanistic insights and reactivity of carboxylate-ligated Fe-oxo complexes,⁴⁴ there remains a dearth of comprehensive investigations concerning other crucial reactivity-regulating factors, including steric, electronic, hydrogen tunneling, and so forth.

Here, the main objective is to ascertain whether carboxylate-substituted iron-oxo complexes may provide us with an improved C-H activation reactivity and how well these enhancements would occur sequentially. For this purpose, two alternative macrocyclic ligand frameworks were selected. In Fig. 5.2a, a non-heme iron oxo complex consisting of four nitrogen atoms and comprising the equatorially connected macrocyclic framework $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{N4Py})]^{2+}$, (N4Py = N,N-bis(2-pyridyl)methyl)N-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine) (1), has been taken as a primary compound, as previously reported.⁴⁰ By substituting two pyridine donors of the N4Py ligand with carboxylate ligands in the equatorial plane namely, $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{Bu-P2DA})]$, where Bu-P2DA = N-(1',1'-bis(2-pyridyl)pentyl)iminodiacetate (2), the influence of carboxylate ligated substitution on reactivity catalysed by the HAT mechanism was investigated.⁴⁰ Furthermore, this investigation has been broadened by incorporating derivative compounds (3 and 4, as illustrated in Fig. 5.2b), which are produced by successively substituting N with the carboxylate group.

As the source of the C-H bond, two distinct substrates, such as ethylbenzene (EB) and dihydrotoluene (DHT), are employed. Numerous potential factors could influence the reactivity such as the possible spin-state pathway, deformation energy to acquire the transition states, the triplet-quintet energy gap, and so forth, which have been extensively investigated. Quantum mechanical tunneling and the H/D kinetic isotope effect^{45,46} have also been examined in the context of carboxylate-ligated macrocycles.

5.2: Computational Details

The DFT-B3LYP methodologies, which have been implemented in Gaussian 16,⁴⁷ were utilised to perform all computations related to optimization, frequency, thermochemistry, etc. The B3LYP functional developed by Lee-Yang-Parr is successfully employed in many organometallic reaction chemistry.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁸ The following basis sets are utilised here: (i) LANL2DZ⁵⁹ basis and ECP for Fe and Pople's double zeta 6-31G*⁶⁰ for all other atoms, which

are conveniently termed as B1 for the presentation. To validate the transition states, vibrational frequency calculations were performed; the presence of an imaginary frequency signifies the transition state, while the absence of such frequencies indicates minima. At 298 K (25 °C), the thermal and entropic corrections to the Gibbs free energy were carried out.

Additional single-point calculations were accomplished to refine the energy using (ii) 6-311++G(2d,2p) for all atoms and SDD-ECP⁶¹ for Fe, classified as B2. The implicit impact of the solvent, acetonitrile (CH₃CN) was evaluated by utilisation of the self-consistent reaction field (SCRF)⁶² approach in conjunction with Truhlar's solvation model density approximation (SMD). Using the perchlorate (ClO₄⁻) counterions, the systems' overall positive charge was neutralised to resolve unwanted self-interaction problems in DFT.⁶³ The determination of the percentage of concealed volumes and available capacity was accomplished by using the SambVca 2.1 software.⁶⁴ With the aid of spin densities, mulliken charges, and natural spin orbitals, the electronic state was precisely identified. The Chemcraft software was employed to perform image rendering, result depiction, and analysis.⁶⁵ For the purpose of further discussion, zero-point corrected energy at the B2 level is predominantly used, unless otherwise specified. Using the KisthelP2019 software,⁶⁶ the kinetic isotope effect (KIE) and quantum mechanical tunneling have been performed. Eq. 5.1 illustrates how the rate constants were calculated via Eyring's transition state theory.⁶⁷

Here, the transmission coefficient and reaction symmetry are designated by κ and σ , respectively. A one-dimensional (1-D) asymmetric Eckart approach, which has become prevalent in a variety of transfer or migration of H-processes,^{45,46,68-70} was used to assess the tunneling. The subsequent equation generates the effect of the transmission coefficient on the barrier of activation.

This equation denotes, the quantitative reduction of an activation barrier resulting from tunneling ($\Delta\Delta E^{\ddagger}_{\text{tun}}$), the universal gas constant (R), and absolute temperature (T).

To prove quantum mechanical tunneling, all reactions' (H/D) KIEs were determined. The KIE computations used the frequency of stationary states and their deuterium-replaced counterparts, calculated after tunneling influence using Eq. 5.3.

The transmission coefficients of hydrogen are symbolised by κ_{H} while its deuterated isotopomers are represented by κ_{D} . The tunneling contribution for a reaction can be contributed using the following Eq. 5.4:⁷¹

Here, κ_{Eckart} denotes the transmission coefficient in Eq. 5.1, which is determined using the Eckart method. Consequently, the numerator in Eq. 5.4 reflects ⁴the difference between a reaction that includes tunneling and one where the transmission coefficient is unity, $\kappa = 1$, indicating no tunneling effect. Therefore, the ratio $[\kappa_{\text{Eckart}} - 1] / \kappa_{\text{Eckart}}$ quantifies the extent of tunneling contribution.

Furthermore, distortion energies have been evaluated for the transition state, defined by the following equation:

The substrate and reactant equivalents are signified by S and R, in their structure of transition state. Here, $E(\text{S})$ and $E(\text{R})$ are defined as the energies of the substrate and reactant in their undistorted state whereas ³⁴ $E^\ddagger(\text{S})$ and $E^\ddagger(\text{R})$ are the single-point energies of the separated substrate and oxidant fragments in the transition state.

5.3: Result and Discussion

5.3.1: Spin-State Preferences and Two-State Reactivity (TSR)

Complexes of Fe(IV)O may manifest two close-lying spin states: ²¹triplet state ($S = 1$, two unpaired electrons) and quintet state ($S = 2$, four unpaired electrons). The same was observed in this study (in Table 5.1), with $S = 1$ representing the ground state and $S = 2$ implying the higher energy state.

The ΔE_{T-Q} for complex 1 was 6.5 kcal mol⁻¹, which was reduced to approximately 2.9, 2.0, and 1.5 kcal mol⁻¹, in complexes 2, 3, and 4 respectively (in Fig. 5.3). This is expected because replacing a nitrogen-containing pyridine group with oxygen-containing carboxylate group weakens the ligand field, making the high-spin state more accessible. As compared to the other Fe(IV)O complexes that exhibit the TSR,⁵³ here, also ΔE_{T-Q} was found to be smaller, hence it may possess the ability to access the quintet state. Consequently, we have computed both triplet and quintet reaction pathways.

The sequential addition of carboxylate groups had minimal impact on the geometries of the oxidants, as illustrated in Fig. 5.3. There are no significant alterations in the parameters, as the bond lengths of the axially connected Fe=O and Fe-N_{ax} are nearly identical across all four oxidants.

5.3.2: Electron Shift Diagram

In the C-H activation reaction, the abstraction of hydrogen occurs with the movement of one electron from the substrate to the acceptor orbital, which may be either anti-bonding orbital π^* (for S=1) or $\sigma^*_{z^2}$ (S=2) of the Fe(IV)oxo complex as presented in Fig. 5.4.

In the triplet state (S=1), the π trajectory, with a Fe-O-H angle of about 120°, involves the transfer of a β -electron to the acceptor orbital (π^*) of the Fe-oxo moiety (shown in blue). Conversely, in the quintet state (S=2), the transfer of an α -electron via the σ route to the acceptor orbital ($\sigma^*_{z^2}$) is depicted by the pink arrow, with a preferred Fe-O-H angle of around 180°. This study also follows the exchange-enhanced reactivity (EER)⁷² as the transition state on the quintet surface has lower energy than that on the triplet surface due to increased exchange interactions (the S = 2 transition state has more unpaired electrons than the S = 1 state). Consequently, during the reaction, the quintet state exhibits a more stable intermediate as it crosses the triplet state.

5.3.3: Reactivity Analysis

This section outlines the comparison of the HAT reactivity between complexes 1 and 2 with EB and DHT. The corresponding potential energy surface is presented in Fig. 5.5.

As previously mentioned, the PES shows the TSR pattern for these Fe(IV)O complex-catalyzed HAT reactions. Fig. 5.5a and 5.5b indicate that the H-abstraction from EB catalyzed by complex 1 has an activation barrier of approximately 12.0 kcal mol⁻¹. This value is considerably higher compared to the identical reaction catalyzed by complex 2, which has an activation barrier of 9.2 kcal mol⁻¹. This clearly demonstrates that the Fe(IV)O complex BuP2DA ligand has a greater catalytic potential than the macrocycle N4Py. We then proceed to discuss Fig. 5.5c, and d which provide the potential energy surface for the HAT reaction of complexes 1 and 2 with a different substrate DHT.

These figures again demonstrate the higher reactivity of BuP2DA over N4Py, regardless of the substrate. The activation barrier for DHT catalyzed by complex 1 is 7.5 kcal mol⁻¹, while for complex 2 it is 3.6 kcal mol⁻¹. Furthermore, the facts about the C-H activation reactivity concerning the substrate's bond dissociation energy (BDE) will also be amplified by the substrates EB and DHT. The C-H activation barriers of EB catalyzed by complexes 1 and 2 are 12.0 and 9.2 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively, which is significantly higher than the activation barrier of the same complexes with DHT 7.5 kcal mol⁻¹ and 3.6 kcal mol⁻¹. This finding is anticipated as EB has high bond dissociation energy (85.4 kcal mol⁻¹) compared to DHT (77 kcal mol⁻¹).^{73,74} The computed BDE values for EB and DHT, 84.2 kcal mol⁻¹ and 75.2 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively, are also in close proximity to the previously reported experimental BDE values. To further demonstrate the effect of sequential carboxylate ligation at the equatorial position, the potential energy surfaces for H-abstraction from EB catalyzed by complexes 3 and 4 are illustrated in Fig. 5.6.

Fig. 5.5, and 5.6 clearly show that enhancing carboxylate ligation at the equatorial position of the Fe(IV)O complexes provides the sequential reduction of the energy of activation. The

corresponding pattern is as follows: 1 (12.0 kcal mol⁻¹) > 2 (9.2 kcal mol⁻¹) > 3 (7.8 kcal mol⁻¹) > 4 (5.7 kcal mol⁻¹). We can now investigate the factors that provide this new finding of increased C-H activation reactivity in carboxylate-ligated Fe-oxo complexes.

5.3.4: Steric Factor

The free space related to the H-atom abstractor (Fe=O) being accessible to the substrates is detailed in Table 5.2, available in the supporting information.

The free space values—8.6%, 13.1%, 15.8%, and 18.1% for complexes 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively—suggest that the sequential addition of ligand carboxylate groups at the equatorial position increases the accessibility of the abstractor to the substrate. Fig. 5.7's plot of activation energy against the percentage of free space shows a strong linear relationship, with an R² value close to unity (0.985). This indicates with certainty that the steric factor can enhance reactivity in line with the increase in carboxylate groups.

5.3.5: Distortion Energy

Next, we analyse the distortion energy required to reach the transition states, as this significantly contributes to the activation energy. The corresponding values have been collected in Table 5.3 presented below.

The values demonstrate that the distortion energies for complex 1 is 14.4 kcal mol⁻¹ and it decreases sequentially for 2 (11.2 kcal mol⁻¹), 3 (9.4 kcal mol⁻¹), and 4 (7.0 kcal mol⁻¹) complexes. Notably, the deformation energy of the oxidant is not significant, whereas the substrate distortion mainly contributes to the total distortion energy, decreasing progressively from complex 1 to complex 4. The distortion energy values also show an excellent correlation with the pattern of energy of activation of the reaction. The plot in Fig. 5.8 shows a remarkable linear correlation between the activation energy and distortion energy, with an R² value close to unity. We can therefore conclude that the qualitative control of reactivity can be attributed to the distortion energy.

5.3.6: Electrophilicity of the Central Metal Fe

The hydrogen atom transfer mechanism essentially involves an electron transfer process from the substrate to the central iron metal. Therefore, it is appropriate to examine the atomic charge on Fe, as it directly reflects the electrophilicity of the metal within the ligand framework of complexes 1 to 4, and relates to the reaction barrier. The natural charges, computed using the NBO method, show a continuous increase with carboxylate ligation as follows: 0.841, 0.861, 0.884, and 0.894 from 1 to 4, respectively. This trend is logical because oxygen, being more electronegative than nitrogen, attracts more electron density from the metal. A plot of charge versus activation barrier, shown in Fig. 5.9, also provides a linear correlation, indicating that the metal's electrophilicity may control the reactivity.

5.3.7: Triplet-Quintet Energy Difference

Since the reaction follows the two-state reactivity (TSR) model, the spin inversion probability is significant and plays a crucial role in understanding surface crossing phenomena. The probability of spin inversion is influenced by the energy gap (ΔE_{T-Q}) between the S=1 ground state and the S=2 excited state oxidants. Smaller ΔE_{T-Q} values generally increase the probability of spin inversion because the spin states are closer in energy, making transitions more feasible. The outcome indicates that the ΔE_{T-Q} values continuously decrease from 1 to 4 due to carboxylate ligation as follows: 6.5, 2.9, 2.0, and 1.5 kcal mol⁻¹ for 1 to 4, respectively, which facilitates a higher probability of spin inversion. Fig. 5.10 shows the plot of the energy of activation and the energy gap between spin states shows quite a linear correlation with $R^2=0.979$, which supports the TSR model's prediction that spin inversion probability increases with decreasing energy gaps, aligning with the observed data.

5.3.8: Quantum Mechanical Tunneling

As several studies^{42,45,46,55-58,75} have reported that hydrogen tunneling can be an important factor in metal-oxo-catalyzed C-H activation, we have conducted similar computations here, with the results presented in Table 5.4.

^aKIE in the parenthesis are computed at 313K to compare with experiment⁷⁵

The experimentally reported KIE is approximately 45 at 313 K, which closely matches our calculated KIE (44) when considering the S=1 pathway. It is important to note that the kinetic isotope effect can also indicate the reactive spin state^{46,53,75}, suggesting that the reaction of EB with 1 likely proceeds via the S=1 state. Energetically, both pathways appear almost degenerate after tunneling correction ($\Delta E^\ddagger = 11.9$ and $10.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ for the S=1 and S=2 paths, respectively). This prediction is consistent with earlier research⁴⁶. For other reactions, we have no data for comparison, but the tunneling-corrected barrier for the S=2 pathway appears significantly lower compared to the S=1 pathway, suggesting the reactive state is likely S=2. Considering the tunneling-corrected activation energy ($\Delta E - \Delta\Delta E^\ddagger_{\text{tun}}$) presented in column 8 of Table 5.4, it is clear that the reactivity pattern remains consistent with the classical trend as discussed earlier, regardless of the spin state considered for 1+EB. The experimental observation of higher reactivity in complex 2 compared to complex 1 is also replicated here, along with a suitable explanation.

The % tunneling results in Table 5.4 also demonstrate a significant contribution of tunneling to the C-H activation reactivity facilitated by the Fe-oxo complexes. Notably, tunneling accounts for over 98% of the total reactions, except for 2 + EB and 2 + DHT, where it still accounts for over 78%.

5.4: Conclusion

Several significant conclusions can be drawn from this extensive DFT investigation, including insights into the C-H activation reactivity of the non-heme Fe(IV)O complex linked with a

carboxylate-rich macrocycle. In this study, four ²²Fe(IV)O oxidants were examined, starting with the macrocycle N4Py ligand and sequentially replacing pyridine groups with carboxylates to produce three additional oxidants. The complexes of oxidant 2, containing the ⁿBu-P2DA macrocyclic ligand, were previously synthesized and exhibited higher reactivity than complex 1. Two more oxidants (3 and 4) were designed by further replacing pyridine with carboxylate groups.

Regarding C-H activation reactivity, a noteworthy pattern is observed: $1 < 2 < 3 < 4$, indicating that reactivity increases with the addition of equatorially enriched carboxylate groups. These findings are ³⁵in excellent agreement with available experimental results for complexes 1 and 2. Several important insights into this reactivity pattern were identified, including: spin inversion probability: accessibility of the S=2 state decreases with carboxylate enrichment, free space for the abstractor: increases in carboxylate-rich macrocyclic complexes, deformation energies: consistently decrease from 1 to 4 and electrophilicity of the metal center. Hydrogen tunneling contributes in each case but does not significantly influence the observed reactivity pattern. This investigation establishes that enhancing carboxylate content on C-H activation reactivity should encourage the bioinorganic community to synthesize more oxidants with carboxylate-rich ligated macrocyclic compounds.

6.1: Introduction

The synthetic mimics of cofactors in oxidative metalloenzymes are primarily high-valent transition metal oxo-species.¹⁻⁶ These analogues effectively catalyze a wide range of organic and biotransformation reactions, particularly in C-H bond activation and oxygen atom transfer processes.⁷⁻¹¹ Numerous experimental, spectroscopic, and computational methods have been employed to understand the chemical and physical characteristics and mechanistic reactivity patterns of these species.¹²⁻¹⁶ In this field, the high-valent Fe(IV)-oxo species, in particular, has garnered considerable attention and due to their relatively high stability, several Fe(IV)-oxo compounds with various ligand macrocycles have already been synthesized.¹⁷⁻²¹

These Fe(IV)-oxo complexes are generally capable of activating weak C-H bonds, and significant efforts such as the synthesis of reactive S=2 complexes, modification of geometry,^{22,23} ligand architecture, etc.,²⁴⁻²⁸ have been dedicated to improving their reactivity and selectivity. In the past few years, an approach using redox mediators as cocatalysts for C-H activation alongside Fe(IV)-oxo complexes has been gaining significant attention.²⁹⁻³² Recently, Barbieri *et. al.*,²⁹ showed that in the presence of a redox activator e.g., *N*-hydroxyphthalimide (NHPI), the rate of [Fe(IV)O(N4Py)]²⁺ catalyzed C-H activation can be enhanced. NHPI is an effective catalyst for the oxidation of various organic compounds, such as aliphatic and alkyl aromatic hydrocarbons, alcohols, amides, and amines.³³⁻³⁶ It generates the phthalimide-N-oxyl (PINO) radical, which is responsible for the crucial hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) reactions during the oxidation process. NHPI has also been found advantageous in various other reactions such as the oxidation of alcohols by Fe-oxo complexes [Fe(bpe)Cl₂][Et₄N] and [Fe(Me₂bpb)Cl₂][Et₃NH] in the presence of *t*-BuOOH.³² As proposed the C-H activation mechanism catalyzed by [Fe(IV)O(N4Py)]²⁺ in the presence of NHPI is shown below in Scheme 6.1.²⁹

As shown in Scheme 6.1, $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{N4Py})]^{2+}$ (1) first reacts with NHPI to produce the reactive radical PINO, which then abstracts a hydrogen atom from the substrate leading to the regeneration of the mediator in its reduced state. The hydrocarbon radical then goes through a rebound of $-\text{OH}$ from the $[\text{Fe}(\text{III})\text{OH}(\text{N4Py})]^{2+}$ complex, providing the final product.

Although the mechanism of direct C-H activation catalyzed by *N*-oxyl radicals or by complex 1 has been extensively studied over the past several decades,^{33,37-49} there is a lack of significant research on how and why NHPI can facilitate iron-oxo-catalyzed C-H activations. In line with this, a comprehensive density functional theory (DFT) investigation has been conducted to determine the insight into the enhancement of rate and validate the aforesaid mechanism. The nonheme complex 1, along with a series of substrates with varying bond dissociation energies, such as cyclohexadiene (CHD), ethylbenzene (EB), and cyclohexane (CHE), has been used. Additionally, another reactive mediator, NHQI, which is formed by replacing the phenyl group with an electron-withdrawing *N*-heteroaromatic ring, is also utilized in this study.⁵⁰ This investigation includes a careful analysis of other crucial factors that influence the reactivity, such as electronic properties, distortion energy, and hydrogen tunneling.

6.2: Computational Details

The calculations associated with geometry optimization, frequency analysis, and thermochemistry were conducted using the DFT-B3LYP method. B3LYP, a method developed by Becke-Lee-Yang-Parr, is found to be one of the best DFT functionals for this type of typical problem.^{13,14,51-53} To further validate our results, some other DFT methods, e.g., M06-L and TPSSH, have also been brought into practice, as these have been reported to be the most suitable functionals in this type of system as presented in Table 6.1.⁵³⁻⁵⁴

*B2 is 6-31++G(2d,2p)(for all atoms)/SDD basis set and ECP(Fe)

Pople's split valence double- ζ 6-31G* basis set⁵⁵ is used for all nonmetal atoms, and the LANL2DZ basis set⁵⁶ along with its associated electrostatic potential, is used for Fe. In our presentation, this particular theoretical framework is classified as B1. In order to minimize undesirable self-interaction errors present in DFT, the overall positive charge of the systems was effectively neutralized by counterion ClO_4^- .⁵⁷ The counterions are positioned in a way that ensures their role is limited to system neutralization, without influencing the reaction directly. To address this, we conducted several attempts to determine the optimal placement of counterions, ensuring no interference with the reaction. The most suitable orientation, as determined, is shown in Fig. 6.1.

To verify the transition states, vibrational frequency computations have been performed at the same level B1, where the presence of a single imaginary frequency indicates a transition state, and the absence of any imaginary frequencies denotes minima. At 298 K, the temperature and entropic changes in the Gibbs free energy were calculated.

The energies have been further refined by performing the single-point energy calculations using the triple- ζ valence polarized 6-311++G(2d,2p) basis set for all atoms, and the SDD-ECP basis set for Fe.⁵⁸ Dispersion correction employing the D3 version of Grimme's dispersion with Becke-Johnson damping (GD3BJ) has also been performed in the B1 level of theory. The impact of the acetonitrile (CH_3CN) solvent is also implicitly considered by employing the self-consistent reaction field (SCRF)⁵⁹ approach, using Truhlar's solvation model density approximation (SMD).⁶⁰ This level of theory is designated as B2 here. All the aforementioned computations have been performed using the Gaussian 16 software.⁶¹ To precisely determine the electronic states the parameters including spin densities, Mulliken charges, and natural spin orbitals were obtained. The Chemcraft software was employed to analyze results, visualize data, and draw images.⁶²

20 6.3: Tunneling Corrections and Kinetic Isotope Effect (KIE) Calculations

The rate constants have been calculated using Eyring's transition state theory⁶³ (Eq. 6.1).

The symbols κ and σ are used to represent the transmission coefficient and reaction symmetry, respectively. The calculation of tunneling was conducted via the one-dimensional asymmetric Eckart method.⁶⁴ The impact of the transmission coefficient on the barrier was calculated by applying the formula in Eq. 6.2.

Here, $\Delta\Delta E^\ddagger$ signifies the quantitative reduction in the activation barrier resulting from tunneling, R represents the universal gas constant and T denotes the absolute temperature. The quantum mechanical tunneling corrected deuterium kinetic isotope effect ($\frac{k_H}{k_D}$) was also computed for all the investigated reactions. All the kinetics calculations were performed by utilizing the kisthelp2019 program.⁶⁵

The tunneling contribution for a reaction can be contributed using Eq. 6.3.⁶⁶

Here, κ_{Eckart} denotes the transmission coefficient in equation 6.1, which is determined by using the Eckart method. Consequently, the numerator in equation 6.3 reflects the difference between a reaction that includes tunneling and one where the transmission coefficient is unity, $\kappa = 1$, indicating no tunneling effect. Therefore, the ratio $[\kappa_{\text{Eckart}} - 1] / \kappa_{\text{Eckart}}$ quantifies the extent of tunneling contribution.

Further, distortion energies to achieve the transition states are computed using the following equation:⁶⁷

The substrate and oxidant equivalents are specified by the subscripts S and O, respectively. Here, E_S and E_O are defined as the energies of the substrate and oxidant in their undistorted state, whereas E_S^\ddagger and E_O^\ddagger are the single-point energies of the separated substrate and oxidant fragments in the transition state, respectively.

6.4: Result and Discussion

6.4.1: Spin-State Preferences

In C-H activation, many Fe(IV)-oxo complexes exhibit two-state reactivity (TSR) due to the presence of closely spaced triplet (S=1), characterized by two unpaired electrons, and quintet (S=2), characterized by four unpaired electrons, spin states.^{68,21} Since the hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) is the rate-determining step (RDS) of these reactions, the primary H/D kinetic isotope effect (KIE) can serve as an indicator of the reactive spin state and it can be determined by the comparison between experimental and computed KIEs.⁶⁹ The calculated KIE for the reaction of 1 with EB at 313 K (54.5) provides close agreement with the experimentally obtained value (45±2) whereas the calculated value for S=2 (~10) is far away from the experiment.^{70,71} In an earlier investigation, the S=1 state KIE (47) also shows excellent agreement with the experiment but not S=2 (~12).⁷² Consequently, for the reaction of complex 1, only S=1 state energetics will be considered for the discussion unless otherwise specified.

6.4.2: Reactivity

In this section, we have analyzed the C-H activation reactivity of complex 1 using three different substrates (EB, CHE, and CHD) directly and via NHPI and NHQI-mediated pathways.⁴² The potential energy surface for the reaction of 1+EB in the absence and presence of NHPI and NHQI has been presented in Fig. 6.2. For the discussion, first we look for the direct H-abstraction and then the mediator pathways.

Fig. 6.2 illustrates that the direct H-abstraction from EB by complex 1 (pink) has an activation energy of 14.5 kcal mol⁻¹, while the barrier for HAT from NHPI (blue) is only 6.5 kcal mol⁻¹. This indicates that generating the PINO radical is significantly easier than H-abstraction from EB, suggesting that cleaving the O-H bond is easier than the C-H bond, even though their bond dissociation energies are nearly the same (~88 kcal mol⁻¹ for O-H and ~85 kcal mol⁻¹ for C-H).³³ In the current theoretical prediction, the O-H BDE in NHPI is found slightly lower (~84 kcal mol⁻¹) which is consistent with earlier reports.⁷³

The PINO abstracts a hydrogen atom from EB through the transition state TS_H , with activation energy of 7.4 kcal mol⁻¹, which is higher than that of the first step in the mediated mechanism. Similar to the direct pathway, the hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) from the substrate is the rate-determining step in the PINO-mediated pathway as well. This observation is consistent with previously reported NHPI-mediated oxidation of alcohols.³³ Comparing the RDS of both steps, it is clear that the reaction gets benefited by 2.6 kcal mol⁻¹ if it follows the mediator pathways. To ensure the robustness of this 2.6 kcal mol⁻¹ difference, we also compared the results using different functionals, specifically TPSSH and M06-L as suggested by a benchmark study.⁵³ The results obtained (shown in Table 6.2) show a similar trend, further emphasizing our outcome. To further validate the mechanism and the role of the mediator, the investigations were extended to two additional substrates: cyclohexane (CHE), with a very high bond dissociation energy (BDE) of approximately 99 kcal mol⁻¹, and cyclohexadiene (CHD), with a lower BDE of around 78 kcal mol⁻¹. The potential energy surfaces (PES) for the oxidation of CHE and CHD are shown in Fig. 6.3 and 6.4.

The activation barrier for CHE is higher than that for EB throughout the reaction, with a reduction of 3.1 kcal mol⁻¹ in the NHPI-mediated pathway. For CHD, all barriers, as depicted in Fig. 6.4, are lower compared to those for EB and CHE. Interestingly, for CHD, the mediator's efficiency is notably reduced, with the activation energy lowered by only 0.3 kcal mol⁻¹ by NHPI. This is due to the fact that the barrier height decreases more sharply with BDE in the direct pathway compared to the radical-mediated pathway as shown in the Fig. 6.1.

The investigation has also focused on identifying a more efficient mediator, specifically NHQI, which has been shown to exhibit a significantly higher hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) rate compared to NHPI, as reported in previous studies.^{36,50} The associated potential energy surface for the substrate ethylbenzene (EB) is also illustrated in Fig. 6.1.

According to Fig. 6.1 (purple line), the H-abstraction from NHQI is highly favorable, with a remarkably low energy barrier of approximately 1.0 kcal mol⁻¹. The subsequent rate-determining step (RDS), involving HAT from EB, proceeds with an activation energy of about 10.8 kcal mol⁻¹. This makes the pathway involving the NHQI mediator more favorable by 3.7 kcal mol⁻¹ compared to alternative pathways. Thus, in the context of EB, the NHQI mediator demonstrates a superior efficiency over NHPi, with an overall energy advantage of 1.1 kcal mol⁻¹. So, from the aforementioned analysis it is clear that the reactivity of the Fe(IV)O complex has significantly enhanced in the presence of a mediator than in the absence of a mediator.

The C-H bond cleavage adheres to the BEP principle, as the activation energy consistently decreases in line with the bond dissociation energies (BDE) of the substrates (from CHE and EB to CHD), regardless of whether the abstractor is an aminoxyl radical or a Fe(IV)O complex. The corresponding plot provides a nice correlation between activation energy and BDE as presented in Fig. 6.5.

However, this BEP correlation with BDE breaks down when comparing C-H and the O-H bond cleavage by the Fe(IV)O complex. So, to gain a comprehensive understanding of the mediator's mechanistic pattern, it is crucial to address the concern that involves explaining why the cleavage of the O-H bond in the mediator is significantly more favorable than the cleavage of the C-H bond in the substrate, even though the C-H bond has a comparable or even lower bond dissociation energy (BDE) than the O-H bond. This phenomenon can be explained using the Marcus cross relation (Eq. 6.5), as detailed by Mayer and his co-workers.^{7,74}

In Eq. 6.5, the first and second terms under the square root represent the rate constants for the self-exchanged HAT reactions. The third term denotes the equilibrium constant for the reaction shown in Eq. 6.6. So, according to Eq. 6.5, the rate constant for the cross-reaction is dependent on these self-exchange reactions. In the case of C-H bonds, these self-exchange reactions are

dramatically slow.⁷ Consequently, the Fe(IV)O-catalyzed abstraction of hydrogen from hydrocarbon substrates is significantly slower compared to that when hydroxylamines act as mediators.

6.4.3: Thermodynamic Control of Reactivity

In this part of study, we have calculated the E-O bond energy (where E = Fe for ferryl and E = N for nitroxyl) before and after H-abstraction. The results are presented in the Table 6.3.

From Table 6.3, it is clear that the Fe-O bond energy decreases by 14.9 kcal mol⁻¹ from the reactant state (85.3 kcal mol⁻¹) to the intermediate state (70.4 kcal mol⁻¹). Similarly, the N-O bond energy in the mediator decreases from 162.3 to 137.7 kcal mol⁻¹, a reduction of 24.6 kcal mol⁻¹, indicating a more exothermic reaction. Consistent with these findings, the nitroxyl radical-mediated pathway (mediator pathway) is observed to be more exothermic than the direct pathway, as depicted in the potential energy surfaces in Fig. 6.2. Therefore, it can be confidently concluded that the mediator pathway is more favorable from both kinetic and thermodynamic standpoints.

6.4.4: Distortion Energy

Next, we examine the distortion energy necessary to achieve the transition states, which considerably contributes to the activation energy. The Eq. 6.7 presented in the computational details section is used to determine the distortion energies ($\Delta E_{\text{dis}}^{\ddagger}$) of the oxidants and substrate in order to reach the transition states. The related data have been compiled and are shown in Table 6.4 below.

The values in Table 6.4 clearly show that the distortion energy is consistently lower in the transition state catalyzed by the mediator radical compared to that in the reaction catalyzed by complex 1. This evidence suggests that mediator radicals exhibit greater activity than metal-oxo complexes, further supporting the mediator mechanism. Additionally, the distortion energy

values help to explain the higher reactivity of the QINO radical (7.3 kcal mol⁻¹) compared to that of PINO (14.2 kcal mol⁻¹).

The steric factor might play a significant role, as the abstractor site 'O' in Fe(IV)O is pretty blocked (~ 7.6% free space as calculated using the SambVca 2.1 program)⁷⁵ by the macrocycles, whereas it is completely exposed in PINO or QINO, making these species more reactive toward HAT.

6.4.5: Quantum Mechanical Tunneling (QMT)

Based on previous literature, H-abstraction reactions might exhibit significant tunneling effects due to the light mass of the hydrogen atom. This aspect was briefly mentioned in the section on spin state preferences. To fully elucidate the reactivity pattern, a comprehensive study of the quantum mechanical tunneling (QMT) effects on the reactivity of C-H abstraction from EB, catalyzed by Fe(IV)O complexes and a mediator radical system, has been carried out. The findings are presented in Table 6.5.

The last column of Table 6.5 shows that tunneling contributes significantly to all of the reactions. A comparison of KIE with experimental data for the reactions of 1 + EB has already been discussed earlier.

There are experimental reports of KIE values ⁸⁴ for the reaction of PINO with p-xylene at 298 K, which are 25.0 in acetic acid and 28.4 in acetonitrile⁷⁶. Our computed KIE value of 20 for the reaction between PINO and EB shows excellent agreement with the experimental results. After tunneling corrections were incorporated into the barrier heights, the overall efficiency of the mediator pathway improved energetically by approximately 1.3 kcal mol⁻¹.

⁸³ It is an important to note that the mediator enhances the reactivity of complex 1 only when the triplet state pathway is considered. However, the quintet state barrier for complex 1 (11.6 ³ kcal mol⁻¹) is lower than that for the HAT by PINO (12.0 kcal mol⁻¹), providing additional evidence for the S=1 state reactivity of complex 1 beyond what is indicated by the KIE.

6.5: Conclusions

This study presents a comprehensive computational investigation into the mechanism and efficiency of Fe(IV)O-catalyzed C-H activation enhanced by mediators. The investigation focuses on the Fe(IV)O complex $[\text{Fe(IV)ON4Py}]^{2+}$, along with two mediators, NHPI and NHQI, and three substrates: ethylbenzene, cyclohexane, and cyclohexadiene. Complex 1 primarily proceeds via the $S = 1$ pathway, as evidenced by the primary H/D kinetic isotope effect. The findings also reveal that NO-H bond cleavage catalyzed by Fe(IV)O from the mediator is significantly more favorable than the C-H activation of the substrate, with no direct correlation to the bond dissociation energy of the respective bonds.

This discrepancy is clarified using the Marcus cross-relation, which incorporates the self-exchange reaction rate—a rate that is notably slower for C-H bonds compared to that for O-H bonds. This slow rate results in the C-H bond being relatively unreactive, leading to the formation of the mediator radical first in the system rather than a direct HAT from the substrate. The mediator radical then abstracts a hydrogen atom from the substrates, demonstrating a greater abstraction power than the iron-oxo complexes. The activation barriers and exothermicity of the reactions demonstrate that the mediator pathway is favored under both thermodynamic and kinetic control. This conclusion is further supported by the distortion energy required for the reactants to reach the transition state, along with the higher steric hindrance in Fe(IV)O compared with PINO or QINO. Quantum mechanical tunneling plays a substantial role in these reactions, with our results showing excellent agreement with available experimental data, particularly concerning the kinetic isotope effect. Additionally, NHQI is found to be more reactive than PINO. The activation energy of the substrates aligns with the bond dissociation energy (BDE) and follows the Bell-Evans-Polanyi (BEP) principle.

This investigation not only provides valuable insights into how NHPI and NHQI mediate reaction energetics but also justifies the proposed mechanism in its entirety. The findings

highlight the potential for discovering more effective mediators, encouraging bioinorganic chemists to explore novel ways to enhance the reactivity of metal-oxo complexes.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

14%

SIMILARITY INDEX

5%

INTERNET SOURCES

13%

PUBLICATIONS

1%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** Debasish Mandal, Rajeev Ramanan, Dandamudi Usharani, Deepa Janardanan, Binju Wang, Sason Shaik. "How Does Tunneling Contribute to Counterintuitive H-Abstraction Reactivity of Nonheme Fe(IV)O Oxidants with Alkanes?", Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2015
Publication 1%
- 2** Lovleen Kaur, Debasish Mandal. "Impact of ring size on the C H activation reactivity of iron(IV)-oxo complexes: A computational study with TMC macrocycles", Inorganica Chimica Acta, 2024
Publication 1%
- 3** pubs.acs.org
Internet Source 1%
- 4** Debasish Mandal, Sason Shaik. "Interplay of Tunneling, Two-State Reactivity, and Bell-Evans-Polanyi Effects in C-H Activation by Nonheme Fe(IV)O Oxidants", Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2016
Publication <1%
- 5** www.science.gov
Internet Source <1%
- 6** D. Balcells, O. Eisenstein. "Theoretical Studies on the Reaction Mechanism of Metal-Assisted CH Activation", Elsevier BV, 2013
Publication <1%

7	Amnon Kohen, Hans-Heinrich Limbach. "Isotope Effects In Chemistry and Biology", CRC Press, 2019 Publication	<1 %
8	Alessia Barbieri, Osvaldo Lanzalunga, Andrea Lapi, Stefano Di Stefano. " - Hydroxyphthalimide: A Hydrogen Atom Transfer Mediator in Hydrocarbon Oxidations Promoted by Nonheme Iron(IV)–Oxo Complexes ", The Journal of Organic Chemistry, 2019 Publication	<1 %
9	www.researchgate.net Internet Source	<1 %
10	discovery.researcher.life Internet Source	<1 %
11	"Spin States in Biochemistry and Inorganic Chemistry", Wiley, 2015 Publication	<1 %
12	Balcells, David, Eric Clot, and Odile Eisenstein. "C—H Bond Activation in Transition Metal Species from a Computational Perspective", Chemical Reviews, 2010. Publication	<1 %
13	Dibyendu Mallick, Sason Shaik. "Kinetic Isotope Effect Probes the Reactive Spin State, As Well As the Geometric Feature and Constitution of the Transition State during H-Abstraction by Heme Compound II Complexes", Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2017 Publication	<1 %
14	iscbindia.com Internet Source	<1 %

15 Chen, Junying, Kyung-Bin Cho, Yong-Min Lee, Yoon Hye Kwon, and Wonwoo Nam. "Mononuclear nonheme iron(IV)-oxo and manganese(IV)-oxo complexes in oxidation reactions: experimental results prove theoretical prediction", *Chemical Communications*, 2015. <1%

Publication

16 Lisa Roy. "Theoretical Identification of the Factors Governing the Reactivity of C-H Bond Activation by Non-Heme Iron(IV)-Oxo Complexes", *ChemPlusChem*, 2019. <1%

Publication

17 Aidan R. McDonald, Yisong Guo, Van V. Vu, Emile L. Bominaar, Eckard Münck, Lawrence Que. "A mononuclear carboxylate-rich oxoiron(IV) complex: a structural and functional mimic of TauD intermediate 'J'", *Chemical Science*, 2012. <1%

Publication

18 Hajime Hirao, Devesh Kumar, Lawrence Que, Sason Shaik. "Two-State Reactivity in Alkane Hydroxylation by Non-Heme Iron-Oxo Complexes", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2006. <1%

Publication

19 Reena Singh, Gaurab Ganguly, Sergey O. Malinkin, Serhiy Demeshko, Franc Meyer, Ebbe Nordlander, Tapan Kanti Paine. "A Mononuclear Nonheme Iron(IV)-Oxo Complex of a Substituted N4Py Ligand: Effect of Ligand Field on Oxygen Atom Transfer and C-H Bond Cleavage Reactivity", *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2019. <1%

Publication

- 20 Jennifer O. Bigelow, Jason England, Johannes E. M. N. Klein, Erik R. Farquhar et al. "Oxoiron(IV) Tetramethylcyclam Complexes with Axial Carboxylate Ligands: Effect of Tethering the Carboxylate on Reactivity", *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2017
Publication <1%
-
- 21 John F. Olsen. "m-Phenylenedicarbene and m-Phenylenedinitrene Compounds Having Quintet Ground States", *Spectroscopy Letters*, 1969
Publication <1%
-
- 22 Kyung-Bin Cho, Hajime Hirao, Sason Shaik, Wonwoo Nam. "To rebound or dissociate? This is the mechanistic question in C-H hydroxylation by heme and nonheme metal-oxo complexes", *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2016
Publication <1%
-
- 23 Andranik Kazaryan, Evert Jan Baerends. "Ligand Field Effects and the High Spin-High Reactivity Correlation in the H Abstraction by Non-Heme Iron(IV)-Oxo Complexes: A DFT Frontier Orbital Perspective", *ACS Catalysis*, 2015
Publication <1%
-
- 24 Kallol Ray, Florian Felix Pfaff, Bin Wang, Wonwoo Nam. "Status of Reactive Non-Heme Metal-Oxygen Intermediates in Chemical and Enzymatic Reactions", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2014
Publication <1%
-
- 25 ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp
Internet Source <1%
-

26 Kumar, Suresh, Abayomi S Faponle, Prasenjit Barman et al. "Long-range electron transfer triggers mechanistic differences between iron(IV)-oxo and iron(IV)-imido oxidants", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*
Publication <1 %

27 Perry, Cody James. "Computational Analysis of Various Reactions Between Hydroxyl Radicals and Organic Mercury Species", University of Houston-Clear Lake, 2023
Publication <1 %

28 Turner, Julia. "Radical-Mediated Transformations of Carbohydrates Enabled by Photoredox and Hydrogen Atom Transfer: Synthetic and Computational Studies.", University of Toronto (Canada), 2024
Publication <1 %

29 conservancy.umn.edu
Internet Source <1 %

30 Claudia Kupper, Bhaskar Mondal, Joan Serrano-Plana, Iris Klawitter, Frank Neese, Miquel Costas, Shengfa Ye, Franc Meyer. "Nonclassical Single-State Reactivity of an Oxo-Iron(IV) Complex Confined to Triplet Pathways", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2017
Publication <1 %

31 Submitted to Thapar University, Patiala
Student Paper <1 %

32 Ansari, Azaj, Abhishek Kaushik, and Gopalan Rajaraman. "Mechanistic Insights on the ortho-Hydroxylation of Aromatic Compounds by Non-heme Iron Complex: A Computational Case Study on the Comparative Oxidative

Ability of Ferric-Hydroperoxo and High-Valent FeIV=O and FeV=O Intermediates", Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2013.

Publication

33

Masgrau, Laura, Kara E. Ranaghan, Nigel S. Scrutton, Adrian J. Mulholland, and Michael J. Sutcliffe. "Tunneling and Classical Paths for Proton Transfer in an Enzyme Reaction Dominated by Tunneling: Oxidation of Tryptamine by Aromatic Amine Dehydrogenase", The Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 2007.

<1%

Publication

34

Mark E. Thompson. "Electrophosphorescent Materials and Devices", Jenny Stanford Publishing, 2023

<1%

Publication

35

Miquel Costas, Mark P. Mehn, Michael P. Jensen, Lawrence Que. "Dioxygen Activation at Mononuclear Nonheme Iron Active Sites: Enzymes, Models, and Intermediates", Chemical Reviews, 2004

<1%

Publication

36

Hong, Seungwoo, Yong-Min Lee, Kyung-Bin Cho, Karuppasamy Sundaravel, Jaeheung Cho, Myoung Jin Kim, Woonsup Shin, and Wonwoo Nam. "Ligand Topology Effect on the Reactivity of a Mononuclear Nonheme Iron(IV)-Oxo Complex in Oxygenation Reactions", Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2011.

<1%

Publication

37

pure.manchester.ac.uk

Internet Source

<1%

38 Gerard, Emilie. "Halogen Transfer to Aromatic and Aliphatic C-H Bonds: A Combined Enzymological and Computational Study", The University of Manchester (United Kingdom), 2024
Publication

39 Thomas Nevolianis, Jonathan Zheng, Simon Müller, Matthias Baumann et al. "Solvation free energies of anions: from new reference data to predictive models", American Chemical Society (ACS), 2025
Publication

40 James M. Mayer, Ian J. Rhile. "Thermodynamics and kinetics of proton-coupled electron transfer: stepwise vs. concerted pathways", Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics, 2004
Publication

41 Kazunari Yoshizawa. "Quantum Chemical Studies on Dioxygen Activation and Methane Hydroxylation by Diiron and Dicopper Species as well as Related Metal^{II}-Oxo Species", Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan, 2013
Publication

42 Sason Shaik, Hajime Hirao, Devesh Kumar. "Reactivity of High-Valent Iron-Oxo Species in Enzymes and Synthetic Reagents: A Tale of Many States", Accounts of Chemical Research, 2007
Publication

43 Scott T. Kleespies, Williamson N. Oloo, Anusree Mukherjee, Lawrence Que. " C-H Bond Cleavage by Bioinspired Nonheme Oxoiron(IV) Complexes, Including

Hydroxylation of -Butane ", Inorganic Chemistry, 2015

Publication

44 Ye, S.. "Quantum chemical studies of C-H activation reactions by high-valent nonheme iron centers", Current Opinion in Chemical Biology, 200902 <1 %
Publication

45 Babicz, Jeffrey T.. "Oxygen Activation by Mononuclear Non-Heme Iron Enzymes", Stanford University, 2024 <1 %
Publication

46 Debasish Mandal, Dibyendu Mallick, Sason Shaik. "Kinetic Isotope Effect Determination Probes the Spin of the Transition State, Its Stereochemistry, and Its Ligand Sphere in Hydrogen Abstraction Reactions of Oxoiron(IV) Complexes", Accounts of Chemical Research, 2018 <1 %
Publication

47 de Almeida Calixto Silva, Ana Rita. "The Origin of the Catalytic Power of Enzymes", Universidade do Porto (Portugal), 2024 <1 %
Publication

48 worldwidescience.org <1 %
Internet Source

49 "Biomimetic High-Valent Mononuclear Nonheme Iron-Oxo Chemistry", Encyclopedia of Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry, 2016. <1 %
Publication

50 Acuña-Parés, Ferran, Miquel Costas, Josep M. Luis, and Julio Lloret-Fillol. "Theoretical Study of the Water Oxidation Mechanism with Non-heme Fe(Pytacn) Iron Complexes. Evidence <1 %

That the FeIV(O)(Pytacn) Species Cannot React with the Water Molecule To Form the O-O Bond", Inorganic Chemistry

Publication

51 Mandal, Debasish, Rajeev Ramanan, Dandamudi Usharani, Deepa Janardanan, Binju Wang, and Sason Shaik. "How Does Tunneling Contribute to Counterintuitive C-H Abstraction reactivity of Nonheme Fe(IV)O oxidants with alkanes?", Journal of the American Chemical Society

Publication

52 Zhe Tang, Yi Wang, Peng Zhang. "Theoretical investigation of different reactivities of Fe(IV)O and Ru(IV)O complexes with the same ligand topology", Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 2017

Publication

53 [docslib.org](https://www.docslib.org)

Internet Source

54 Baciocchi, Enrico, Massimo Bietti, Massimo Di Fusco, and Osvaldo Lanzalunga. "A Kinetic Study of the Electron-Transfer Reaction of the Phthalimide-N-oxyl Radical (PINO) with Ferrocenes", The Journal of Organic Chemistry, 2007.

Publication

55 Lin, Yen Ting. "Second-Coordination Sphere Effects on the Chemo-Selectivity of Nonheme Iron Dioxygenases. A Computational Study", The University of Manchester (United Kingdom), 2024

Publication

56 Margareta R. A. Blomberg. "A quantum chemical study of tyrosyl reduction and O-O

bond formation in photosystem II", Molecular Physics, 1/1/2003

Publication

57

d-nb.info

Internet Source

<1 %

58

Dandamudi Usharani, David C. Lacy, A. S. Borovik, Sason Shaik. "Dichotomous Hydrogen Atom Transfer vs Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer During Activation of X-H Bonds (X = C, N, O) by Nonheme Iron-Oxo Complexes of Variable Basicity", Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2013

Publication

<1 %

59

Glukhovtsev, Mikhail N., Robert D. Bach, and Christopher J. Nagel. "Performance of the B3LYP/ECP DFT Calculations of Iron-Containing Compounds", The Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 1997.

Publication

<1 %

60

Submitted to The University of Manchester

Student Paper

<1 %

61

Dhuri, Sunder N., Kyung-Bin Cho, Yong-Min Lee, Sun Young Shin, Jin Hwa Kim, Debasish Mandal, Sason Shaik, and Wonwoo Nam. "Interplay of Experiment and Theory in Elucidating Mechanisms of Oxidation Reactions by a Nonheme RuIVO Complex", Journal of the American Chemical Society

Publication

<1 %

62

mafiadoc.com

Internet Source

<1 %

63

roderic.uv.es

Internet Source

<1 %

64 Kumar, Devesh, Reza Latifi, Suresh Kumar, Elena V. Rybak-Akimova, Mala A. Sainna, and Sam P. de Visser. "Rationalization of the Barrier Height for p-Z-styrene Epoxidation by Iron(IV)-Oxo Porphyrin Cation Radicals with Variable Axial Ligands", *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2013.

Publication

<1%

65 Wang, Dong, Kallol Ray, Michael J. Collins, Erik R. Farquhar, Jonathan R. Frisch, Laura Gómez, Timothy A. Jackson, Marion Kerscher, Arkadius Waleska, Peter Comba, Miquel Costas, and Lawrence Que. "Nonheme oxoiron(iv) complexes of pentadentate N5 ligands: spectroscopy, electrochemistry, and oxidative reactivity", *Chemical Science*, 2013.

Publication

<1%

66 Xuepeng Zhang, Xueping Liu, David Lee Phillips, Cunyuan Zhao. "Hydrolysis mechanisms of BNPP mediated by facial copper(II) complexes bearing single alkyl guanidine pendants: cooperation between the metal centers and the guanidine pendants", *Dalton Transactions*, 2016

Publication

<1%

67 Chen, Hui, Kyung-Bin Cho, Wenzhen Lai, Wonwoo Nam, and Sason Shaik. "Dioxygen Activation by a Non-Heme Iron(II) Complex: Theoretical Study toward Understanding Ferric-Superoxo Complexes", *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 2012.

Publication

<1%

68 David Van Vranken, Gregory A. Weiss. "Introduction to Bioorganic Chemistry and Chemical Biology", *Garland Science*, 2019

Publication

<1%

69 Igor A. Topol. "Quantum chemical modelling of reactivity and selectivity of 1,2-dithiolanes towards retroviral and cellular zinc fingers", *Molecular Physics*, 3/20/2002

Publication

<1%

70 Mas-Ballesté, Rubén, Aidan R. McDonald, Dana Reed, Dandamudi Usharani, Patric Schyman, Petr Milko, Sason Shaik, and Lawrence Que. "Intramolecular Gas-Phase Reactions of Synthetic Nonheme Oxoiron(IV) Ions: Proximity and Spin-State Reactivity Rules", *Chemistry - A European Journal*, 2012.

Publication

<1%

71 Mayank Puri, Lawrence Que. "Toward the Synthesis of More Reactive = 2 Non-Heme Oxoiron(IV) Complexes", *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 2015

Publication

<1%

72 Verma, Pragma, Konstantinos D Vogiatzis, Nora Planas et al. "Mechanism of Oxidation of Ethane to Ethanol at Iron(IV)-Oxo Sites in Magnesium-Diluted Fe₂(dobdc)", *Journal of the American Chemical Society*

Publication

<1%

73 actabio.pl

Internet Source

<1%

74 par.nsf.gov

Internet Source

<1%

75 webthesis.biblio.polito.it

Internet Source

<1%

76 www.pubfacts.com

Internet Source

<1%

77 Huang, Jing, Chunsen Li, Binju Wang, Dina A Sharon, Wei Wu, and Sason Shaik. "Selective Chlorination of Substrates by Halogenase SyrB2 is Controlled by the Protein According to a Combined Quantum Mechanics/Molecular Mechanics and Molecular Dynamics Study", ACS Catalysis
Publication

<1%

78 I.A. Topol', N.G. Rambidi. "Indirect exchange interaction in transition-metal compounds", Chemical Physics, 1985
Publication

<1%

79 Staples, Orion. "Synthesis, Reactivity and Catalytic Methane Functionalization Studies of Pyridylpyrrolyl and Phosphine Supported Iridium and Osmium Complexes", University of Pennsylvania, 2023
Publication

<1%

80 Topics in Organometallic Chemistry, 2015.
Publication

<1%

81 Wang Yi, Liu Yuan, Yang Kun, He Zhengwen, Tian Jing, Fei xu, Guo Hong, Wang Yong. "What factors influence the reactivity of C-H hydroxylation and C=C epoxidation by [FeIV(Lax)(1,4,8,11-tetramethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane)(O)]n+", JBIC Journal of Biological Inorganic Chemistry, 2015
Publication

<1%

82 Wang, Binju, Jiarui Lu, Kshatresh Dutta Dubey, Geng Dong, Wenzhen Lai, and Sason Shaik. "How do Enzymes Utilize Reactive OH radicals? Lessons from Non-Heme HppE and Fenton Systems", Journal of the American Chemical Society
Publication

<1%

- 83 vtechworks.lib.vt.edu <1 %
Internet Source
-
- 84 Anna Company. "Modeling the cis-Oxo-Labile Binding Site Motif of Non-Heme Iron Oxygenases: Water Exchange and Oxidation Reactivity of a Non-Heme Iron(IV)-Oxo Compound Bearing a Tripodal Tetradentate Ligand", Chemistry - A European Journal, 02/01/2011 <1 %
Publication
-
- 85 Boaz, Nicholas C., Seth R. Bell, and John T. Groves. "FerryI Protonation in Oxoiron(IV) Porphyrins and its Role in Oxygen Transfer", Journal of the American Chemical Society <1 %
Publication
-
- 86 Cappillino, Patrick J., Joshua S. McNally, Feng Wang, and John P. Caradonna. "The effect of varying carboxylate ligation on the electronic environment of N₂O_x (x = 1–3) nonheme iron: A DFT analysis", Dalton Transactions, 2012. <1 %
Publication
-
- 87 Chi-Ming Che. "Hydrocarbon Oxidation by β -Halogenated Dioxoruthenium(VI) Porphyrin Complexes: Effect of Reduction Potential (RuVI/V) and CH Bond-Dissociation Energy on Rate Constants", Chemistry - A European Journal, 11/18/2005 <1 %
Publication
-
- 88 Hao Tang, Jia Guan, Lili Zhang, Huiling Liu, Xuri Huang. "The effect of the axial ligand on distinct reaction tunneling for methane hydroxylation by nonheme iron(IV)-oxo complexes", Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 2012 <1 %

89 Martins, Maria Margarida Penhasco. "Investigation of the Reactivity of Aminopyridines on C-H Activation Reaction: a Direct Route to Azaindoles", Universidade NOVA de Lisboa (Portugal), 2024

Publication

<1 %

90 Mitome, Hiroumi, Tomoya Ishizuka, Hiroaki Kotani, Yoshihito Shiota, Kazunari Yoshizawa, and Takahiko Kojima. "Mechanistic Insights into C-H Oxidations by Ruthenium(III)-Pterin Complexes: Impact of Basicity of the Pterin Ligand and Electron Ac-ceptability of the Metal Center on the Transition States", Journal of the American Chemical Society

Publication

<1 %

91 Sam P. deVisser. "The Axial Ligand Effect on Aliphatic and Aromatic Hydroxylation by Non-heme Iron(IV)-oxo Biomimetic Complexes", Chemistry - An Asian Journal, 02/01/2011

Publication

<1 %

92 Sason Shaik. "Exchange-enhanced reactivity in bond activation by metal-oxo enzymes and synthetic reagents", Nature Chemistry, 01/2011

Publication

<1 %

93 Sun, Xiaoli, Caiyun Geng, Ruiping Huo, Ulf Ryde, Yuxiang Bu, and Jilai Li. "Large Equatorial Ligand Effects on C-H Bond Activation by Nonheme Iron(IV)-oxo Complexes", The Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 2014.

Publication

<1 %

94 Terrence J. Collins, Alexander D. Ryabov. "Targeting of High-Valent Iron-TAML

<1 %

Activators at Hydrocarbons and Beyond",
Chemical Reviews, 2017

Publication

95 Wijeratne, Gayan B., Briana Corzine, Victor W. Day, and Timothy A. Jackson. "Saturation Kinetics in Phenolic O–H Bond Oxidation by a Mononuclear Mn(III)–OH Complex Derived from Dioxygen", Inorganic Chemistry

Publication

96 Wilson, Samuel A., Junying Chen, Seungwoo Hong, Yong-Min Lee, Martin Clémancey, Ricardo Garcia-Serres, Takashi Nomura, Takashi Ogura, Jean-Marc Latour, Britt Hedman, Keith O. Hodgson, Wonwoo Nam, and Edward I. Solomon. "[Fe^{IV}=O(TBC)(CH₃CN)]²⁺: Comparative Reactivity of Iron(IV)-Oxo Species with Constrained Equatorial Cyclam Ligation", Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2012.

Publication

97 coek.info <1 %

Internet Source

98 kuscholarworks.ku.edu <1 %

Internet Source

99 mdpi-res.com <1 %

Internet Source

100 www.omicsdi.org <1 %

Internet Source

101 Andrei G. Kutateladze. "Computational Methods in Photochemistry", CRC Press, 2019

Publication

102 Chen, Hui, Wenzhen Lai, and Sason Shaik. "Exchange-Enhanced H-Abstraction Reactivity <1 %

of High-Valent Nonheme Iron(IV)-Oxo from Coupled Cluster and Density Functional Theories", The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters, 2010.

Publication

103

Oszajca, Maria, Agnieszka Drzewiecka-Matuszek, Alicja Franke, Dorota Rutkowska-Zbik, Małgorzata Brindell, Małgorzata Witko, Grażyna Stochel, and Rudi vanEldik. "Mechanistic Insight into Peroxo-Shunt Formation of Biomimetic Models for CompoundII, Their Reactivity toward Organic Substrates, and the Influence of N - Methylimidazole Axial Ligation", Chemistry - A European Journal, 2014.

Publication

<1%

104

Silva, Ana Rita Almeida Calixto. "Elucidating the Catalytic Mechanism of Human Renin with Hybrid Quantum Mechanics and Molecular Mechanics Studies", Universidade do Porto (Portugal), 2024

Publication

<1%

105

Terry Z. H. Gani, Heather J. Kulik. " Understanding and Breaking Scaling Relations in Single-Site Catalysis: Methane to Methanol Conversion by Fe =O ", ACS Catalysis, 2018

Publication

<1%

106

"Carbon-Rich Compounds", Wiley, 2006

Publication

<1%

107

Castro, Ludovic, Evgueni Kirillov, Olivier Miserque et al. "Are Solvent and Dispersion Effects Crucial in Olefin Polymerization DFT Calculations ? Some Insights from Propylene Coordination and Insertion Reactions with Group 3 and 4 Metallocenes", ACS Catalysis

Publication

<1%

108 Fabián G. Cantú Reinhard, Sam P. de Visser. "Oxygen Atom Transfer Using an Iron(IV)-Oxo Embedded in a Tetracyclic N-Heterocyclic Carbene System: How Does the Reactivity Compare to Cytochrome P450 Compound I?", *Chemistry - A European Journal*, 2017
Publication

<1%

109 Mian Guo, Teresa Corona, Kallol Ray, Wonwoo Nam. "Heme and Nonheme High-Valent Iron and Manganese Oxo Cores in Biological and Abiological Oxidation Reactions", *ACS Central Science*, 2018
Publication

<1%

110 Park, Jiyun, Yuma Morimoto, Yong-Min Lee, Wonwoo Nam, and Shunichi Fukuzumi. "Unified View of Oxidative C-H Bond Cleavage and Sulfoxidation by a Nonheme Iron(IV)-Oxo Complex via Lewis Acid-Promoted Electron Transfer", *Inorganic Chemistry*
Publication

<1%

111 Sainna, Mala A., Suresh Kumar, Devesh Kumar, Simonetta Fornarini, Maria Elisa Crestoni, and Sam P. de Visser. "A comprehensive test set of epoxidation rate constants for iron(iv)-oxo porphyrin cation radical complexes", *Chemical Science*, 2014.
Publication

<1%

112 Sharma, . "High-Valent Cr, Mn, and Fe Species", *Oxidation of Amino Acids Peptides and Proteins Kinetics and Mechanism*, 2012.
Publication

<1%

113 Tibor András Rokob, Jakub Chalupský, Daniel Bím, Prokopis C. Andrikopoulos, Martin Srnec, Lubomír Rulíšek. "Mono- and binuclear non-heme iron chemistry from a theoretical

<1%

114 Tzuhsiung Yang, Matthew G. Quesne, Heather M. Neu, Fabián G. Cantú Reinhard, David P. Goldberg, Sam P. de Visser. "Singlet versus Triplet Reactivity in an Mn(V)-Oxo Species: Testing Theoretical Predictions Against Experimental Evidence", Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2016

Publication

115 Yuma Morimoto, Jiyun Park, Tomoyoshi Suenobu, Yong-Min Lee, Wonwoo Nam, Shunichi Fukuzumi. " Mechanistic Borderline of One-Step Hydrogen Atom Transfer versus Stepwise Sc -Coupled Electron Transfer from Benzyl Alcohol Derivatives to a Non-Heme Iron(IV)-Oxo Complex ", Inorganic Chemistry, 2012

Publication

116 Zachary D. Nagel, Judith P. Klinman. "Tunneling and Dynamics in Enzymatic Hydride Transfer", Chemical Reviews, 2006

Publication

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off

Thesis_AK_DM_Plug.pdf

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

GENERAL COMMENTS

/100

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10

PAGE 11

PAGE 12

PAGE 13

PAGE 14

PAGE 15

PAGE 16

PAGE 17

PAGE 18

PAGE 19

PAGE 20

PAGE 21

PAGE 22

PAGE 23

PAGE 24

PAGE 25

PAGE 26

PAGE 27

PAGE 28

PAGE 29

PAGE 30

PAGE 31

PAGE 32

PAGE 33

PAGE 34

PAGE 35

PAGE 36

PAGE 37

PAGE 38

PAGE 39

PAGE 40

PAGE 41

PAGE 42

PAGE 43

PAGE 44

PAGE 45

PAGE 46

PAGE 47

PAGE 48

PAGE 49

PAGE 50

PAGE 51

PAGE 52

PAGE 53

PAGE 54

PAGE 55

PAGE 56

PAGE 57

PAGE 58
